



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_48109
Title of the Manuscript:	Megaprojects - Socioeconomic and Environmental Dynamics in D. Pedro I-Tamoios Road Axis, São Paulo, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In this paper authors tried to to analyse socio-environmental changes in 10 municipalities located along the D. Pedro I - Tamoios road axis, São Paulo state, Brazil, chosen for their regional relevance, and transformations caused by the roads duplication. Socio-demographic data, the expansion of industry, services, tourism development and agricultural production of these municipalities were analysed with a focus in social and environmental changes that took place in this study area. Author's found out that this megaproject development had not considered the sustainability of regional natural resources, in a manner that promotes environmental and living quality to the population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study is interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly. Following amendments are needed- Page 14-15, Line 435-501; 4. CONCLUSION is to be re-written points wise.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharat Raj Singh
Department, University & Country	Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, India