



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48239
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyse the perception level and the causes of migration in Mali.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction the author should mention how the work/study is divided (the structure)                      Inline 92-93 the author refers that emigration in Mali is very well-documented, but he does not make a state of art regarding this issue. It is not sufficient to make a endnote here making reference to an author. In this article and in this first part he/she should make a brief state of art of this issue.</p> <p>In line 107 it does not seems academic to put Mali's coordinates. It is relevant to say where it is geographically, but it is already too much to indicate the coordinates.</p> <p>In line 130 the author says that analysis the data in all the cercles of region of Sikasso, except Yorosso. But, it does not explain why it leaves out of the analysis this region.</p> <p>In line 200, it seems that there is a word missing "...there represent...." ?</p> <p>The conclusion much reflect the main results of the study. It seems that the author did not explore well enough all the results. The conclusion must be developed.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> It does not seem that there are ethical issues in the manuscript, but the Journal should always submitted it to a Plagiarism program to detect potential faults.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Teresa Cierco Gomes</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Porto, Portugal</i></b>