SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48332	
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers Current Agriculture Practices on Paddy Cultivation and Relationship with Work Performance In lada Batang Lupar, Sarawak, Malaysia	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Explain how a descriptive study was transformed to quantitative approach? Were the farmers grouped by gender? What is the proportion of women and men involved in paddy cultivation? The result seems to be separated to discussion. I suggested to have section for results and other to discussion (not as subsection) Section Age, ≤39-49 to be changed to below 49 years because it was joined information about ≤39 and 40-49 years. The mas sentence has information presented in the first result of age, no need for repletion In the land size, can farmers be grouped in small, medium and large scale farmers? How is it affecting production? Related to other information such as average yield, use of inputs, other agriculture practices. Are the more productive farmers with more experience? Is there any relationship? Explain better the sections of land preparation, planting and transplanting, source of water and fertilizer. Example: farmers are no concern about fertilizer. That mean no application? What is the source of water of farmers? Which is more used? There is need to explain the pest and disease management and harvesting. Are the farmers controlling pest and disease or it is only awareness of the importance? The meaning of harvesting (time of harvesting? Remove the crop from the field?) Regression equation should be moved to material and methods 	
Minor REVISION comments	 Verify the citation, et al in italic and some years in parenthesis. Uniformize the references Keywords are suggested to be different from the words in titles form more visibility of the manuscript: Try words such as production yields, field activities or management, etc Verify verbs in the text, some are in present (is). Check objectives How this work will have policy implication? How will be used by policy makers (significance of the study) Repetition of number of farmers in material and methods (total farmers, selection farmers and sample population). This can be rephrased and make it simple and clear First paragraph of Results and discussion change the word paper to research/ study Section 3.1., repletion of capture in the first sentences. Section 3.2.1 and above: table number not mentioned 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has updated references and well presented.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Mulima
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Institute of Mozambique, Mozambique

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)