SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48332
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers Current Agriculture Practices on Paddy Cultivation and Relationship with Work Performance In lada Batang Lupar, Sarawak, Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The Manuscript is well written and showing a good result of planned research. I suggest the following to the author(s): Some minor grammatical mistakes in the manuscript they should be recheck and correct. Introduction is written well and showing significant work regarding the research problem. Materials and Methods not showing complete information about the materials and methods adopted during the course of investigation. I suggest that incorporate the full information about the plan and execution of research work. The author(s) add this information in results section of manuscript, so it should be separated. Results also good but remove the information about methods adopted during course of investigation. Results also discussed well but some more references need to describe the results strongly. Conclusion and recommendations suited decent as per the results of the study. 	
	Line 1: The highest (β = 0.463) obtained by harvesting practice- sentences not clear	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Sciences and Engineering, IFTM University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)