



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_44580
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analyses of Non-Timber Forest Products Utilization among Communities around Kwabaktina Forest Reserve, Adamawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. (INTRODUCTION) Please, let us know the studies that have already been done in this field of research and the area covered and what shows the originality of your study.</p> <p>2. (METHODOLOGY) Since the study is about NFTP's and the roles that they play in the lives of the local residents living in the forest fringe communities of the Kwabaktina forest reserve, I think it would be better to mention some of the NFTP's in the study area and their taxes.</p> <p>3. Provide justification for the use of the purposive and SRS sampling designs for the sample selection for the study.</p> <p>4. Please, clarify the number of questionnaires administered, the number retrieved and how the views of the illiterate members were solicited. Its not clear.</p> <p>5. (RECOMMENDATIONS) Which NGOs are tasked to beef up the afforestation and reforestation programs in the study area? Who should encourage the local people? Please, kindly task efficient implementation actors/agencies who would ensure the smooth implementation of the recommendations suggested.</p> <p>6. Kindly cite the reference 10 properly. That is not how we cite information from a website (Check author's guidelines on referencing style).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are inconsistencies in the font styles and points used in the text.	
Optional/General comments	The data analysis plan is very appropriate for the study. I am impressed by the coherent and excellent presentation of the findings from the study, comprehensively using tables to illustrate the findings from the study. The discussions are rich, educative and meaningful.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dr. Dickson Adom</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana</i>