

Spruce Wood Flour for Paper Applications – A Handsheet Study

ABSTRACT

This study shows that spruce wood flour can be an alternative cellulosic-based wood additive for papermaking. This study used unbleached wood flour with a particle distribution between 200 μm to 500 μm and bleached and unbleached wood flour with particle size distribution between 70 μm to 150 μm .

Wood flour was added at levels of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 15% based on oven dry fiber content for the first part of the study. For the second part of the study, starch at a level of 0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75%, 1.00%, 1.25%, and 1.50% based on OD fiber content is added to the suspension. The basis weight of the handsheet manufactured was 75 g/m².

Bulk increased from 2.20 cm³/g to a maximum of 2.80 cm³/g for 15% wood flour addition. Maximum tensile index achieved was 24.75 Nm/g based on a base sheet value of 20.05 Nm/g. Addition of starch has a positive influence on the tensile index, with a maximum value of 41.41 Nm/g at 1% addition. Brightness value of the manufactured handsheets decreased gradually for the unbleached wood flour. Bleached wood flour showed a 1% point increase above the base sheet brightness of 88.51%.

Addition of starch increased the brightness value from 88.51% of the base sheet by up to 4.5%. An opacity increase was achieved for all wood flour additions with the highest opacity value of 95.68% at an addition of 15% wood flour. Addition of starch can decrease the opacity value of up 1.5% points.

Addition of wood flour resulted in a decrease in smoothness by increasing the airflow from the base sheet value of 2564 ml/min by 385 ml/min. at 8% wood flour addition. Adding a line pressure of 1.673 kN/m to simulate calendaring resulted in an improved smoothness by reducing the airflow of up to 447 ml/min.

Addition of starch showed an overall increase of smoothness by reducing the airflow number by up to 600 ml/min for sheets with and without line pressure.

Keywords: Wood flour, additive, papermaking, handsheets, paper properties

1. INTRODUCTION

Paper today is produced from renewable hardwood and softwood materials. Recycling paper products has improved the environmental footprint of the paper industry [1]. Despite this, the paper industry is increasing their efforts in making paper more sustainable, biodegradable and eco-efficient. However, ever rising production cost for paper and board products and their application demand new solution of utilizing raw materials for the production process. Tighter environmental regulations demand an increasing use of sustainable chemical and additives. This will result in an increasing use of renewable materials in the future [2].

26 One of these renewable materials that can be added to the papermaking process as additive
27 is Wood Flour (WF). WF is known since the early 1900s [3]. The first WF patent was issued
28 for the in the production process of phonographic records and other articles. The US. Patent
29 No.1,406.938 was granted to John Cunningham, a resident in Glens Falls in New York State
30 on Feb. 14, 1922 [4]. According to Reineke (1966) [5], WF are wood particles manufactured
31 by grinding selected wood residues. WF can be produced by various grinding and sieving
32 process of sawdust to sizes between 20 μ m to 500 μ m with a size ratio of 1:1 [6]. Karinkanta
33 et al. describes that the manufacturing process today can consist of a thermal, chemical and
34 enzymatic pretreat before wet and dry milling and sieving techniques are applied [7].
35 Commercial applications for WF today are mainly in the area of Wood Plastic Composites
36 (WPC) and moulding technology applications for articles such as furniture parts, dishes and
37 toys (Hogan et al. 2011) [8]. However, wood flour was used in the 1930 as a bulking agent
38 for papermaking application. Due to **high**? printing qualities in the 15 and 60s wood flour
39 disappeared as bulking agent and was replaced by calcium carbonate and talk filler material.
40 Recently WF with a size of 200 μ m **o**? 450 μ m has been investigated in a handsheet
41 laboratory study by Dongmei et al. [9]. He showed that bulk can be improved, and
42 mechanical pulp be replaced. Lee et al. [10] showed that Wood Powder added to hansheets
43 and duplex board increase bulk of the produced board paper. Sung et al. [11] showed that
44 conifer **leave**? powder can be an alternative organic filler source to wood flour in paperboard
45 applications.
46 Park et al. [12] investigated flour from wood and ground agricultural byproducts for a
47 paperboard application, **showing that bulk and drying**?, but reduced paper strength.
48 However,
49 WF has not been the focus in recent investigations as an alternative cellulosic-based wood
50 additive for papermaking. This handsheet study compares three commercial varieties of
51 spruce WF at an addition of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 15% to a 75 g/m² paper product.

52 53 54 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

55
56 This section describes the materials, standardized TAPPI test methods, and procedures,
57 used for this study. Repeatability of the results stayed in between the allowable margins of
58 the TAPPI testing standards.

59 60 **2.1 TAPPI Methods**

61 Pulp refining was done according to T 200 sp-06 "Laboratory beating of pulp (Valley beater
62 method) [13], Handsheets for physical testing were prepared **in** accordance with T 205 sp-06
63 **14**]? Physical testing of handsheets was performed in accordance to T 220 sp-06, "Physical
64 testing of pulp handsheets" [15], the freeness of pulp was measured as Canadian Standard
65 Freeness (CSF) according to T 227 om-09 "Freeness of pulp (Canadian standard method)"
66 [16]. "Forming handsheets for physical tests of pulp". Conditioning of the paper samples was
67 done according to T 402 sp-08, "Standard conditioning and testing atmospheres for paper,
68 board, pulp handsheets, and related products" [17]. Tensile strength was measured in
69 accordance with T404 cm-92, "Tensile breaking strength and elongation of paper and
70 paperboard" [18]. Basis weight was measured with T 410 om-08. "Grammage of Paper and
71 Paperboard (weight per unit area)" [19]. The paper thickness was measured by T 411 om-10
72 "Thickness (caliper) of paper, paperboard, and combined board" [20]. Moisture content of
73 pulp was determined by T412 om-06 "Moisture in pulp, paper and paperboard" [21]. Opacity
74 of paper handsheets was performed according to T 425 om-06, "Opacity of paper (15/d
75 geometry, illuminant A/2°, 89% reflectance backing and paper backing) [22]. Brightness of
76 pulp was measured according to T 452 om-08, "Brightness of pulp, paper and paperboard
77 (directional reflectance at 457 nm)" [23]. Tensile strength was performed following T494 om-
78 06, "Tensile properties of paper and paperboard (using constant rate of elongation

79 apparatus)" [24]. Smoothness/Roughness of the manufactured handsheets was tested
80 according to T 538 om-08, "Roughness of paper and paperboard (Sheffield Method)" [25].

81

82

83 **2.1 Materials**

84

85 For this study 75 g/m² handsheets are produced from 80% Elemental Corine Free (ECF)
86 Eucalyptus bleached Kraft pulp, and 20% Northern Bleached Softwood Kraft (NBSK) pulp.
87 Prior to handsheet forming the pulp is refined to a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) level
88 of 360 ml following T 200 sp-06 method [16]. WF was added based on Oven Dry (OD) fiber
89 content prior to handsheet forming following T 220 sp-06 method [18]. Spruce WF was
90 obtained from J. Rettenmaier & Söhne, Rosenberg, Germany. WF1 and WF 2 were
91 unbleached with a particle size distribution of 200µm to 500µm and 70µm to 150µm
92 respectively. WF3 was bleached with a particle size distribution of 70 µm to 150 µm.

93 Starch used in this study was cationic starch cooked at a 3% solution at 90°C for 20 minutes
94 prior to handsheet making, cooled down to 30°C and added to the pulp WF suspension prior
95 to handsheet forming.

96

97


98 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

99

100 All handsheets were made and tested according to TAPPI standards. In the first part of the
101 study WF1, WF2, and WF3 was added at levels of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 15% based on OD
102 pulp. In the second part, handsheets were prepared with the addition of starch at a level of
103 0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75%, 1.00%, 1.25%, and 1.50% based on OD fiber content. Handsheets
104 with and without starch for the smoothness measurement were exposed to a **lie**? pressure of
105 1.673 kN/m to simulate calendering.

106 Fig.1 shows that the basis weight of the base sheet was 64.5 g/m². Manufactured
107 handsheets with WF1 had a basis weight range of 72.20 g/m² to 76.50 g/m², WF2 resulted in
108 a basis weight range of 72.40 g/m² to 80.30g/m², and WF3 in a basis weight range of 71.70
109 g/m² to 76.90 g/m². The basis weight increase for all WF follows the same pattern except for
110 WF1 at a dosage of 4%, 8%, and 10% were a 3.6 g/m², 2.1 g/m², 3.5 g/m² lower basis
111 weight was achieved respectively compared to WF 2 which had the highest basis weight at
112 all WF dosage levels. WF3 basis weight levels are very comparable to WF 2 except for the
113 15% dosage were a 1.7 g/m² lower basis weight was the result for WF 1 and 4.1 g/m² for
114 WF3.


115



116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129

Figure 1: Basis weight

The graph in Fig.2 shows the basis weight achieved for WF1 to WF3 with the addition of starch at 0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75%, 1.00%, 1.25%, and 1.50% based on OD fiber content. The percentage of the WF addition was chosen based on Fig.1. WF1 addition was 2%. The addition of WF2 unbleached and WF3 bleached with the same particle size distribution was 4% and 8% respectively in order to compare unbleached an bleached WF at the same basis weight for the starch addition. Fig. 2 shows, that starch serves as a good retention aid, bonding the fine fibers and WF into the produced handsheet. As a result, the basis weight of the handsheet increases from the base sheet of 65.00 g/m² of about 10.00 g/m² at a starch addition of 0.25% starch. A starch addition of 0.50%, 0.75%, 1.00%, 1.25%, and 1.50% the basis weight stays constant at around 75.00 g/m².




130
131
132
133
134
135

Figure 2: Basis weight with starch


Fig. 3 shows that addition of WF increases the bulk from 2.20 cm³/g of the base sheet to a maximum of 2.43 cm³/g, 2.80 cm³/g, and 2.61 cm³/g for the 15% WF addition of the manufactured handsheets for WF1, WF 2, and WF3 respectively. For WF 1 and WF2 a bulk

136 reduction resulted for the 2% addition to 2.10 cm³/g and 2.06 cm³/g respectively. WF2
 137 showed in addition lower bulk value for the 4% and 8% addition at 2.09 cm³/g and 2.17
 138 cm³/g respectively.
 139



140
 141 **Figure 3: Bulk without starch**


142
 143 Addition of starch reduces the bulk, as shown in Fig.4 from 2.20 cm³/g of the base sheet to a
 144 minimum of 1.61 cm³/g, 1.67 cm³/g, and 1.58 cm³/g for the 1.5% starch addition of the
 145 manufactured handsheets for WF1, WF 2, and WF3 respectively. Bulk reduction for WF3
 146 was identical for all starch additions constant bulk reduction. WF1 and WF2 had the lowest
 147 reduction at 0.25% starch addition with 2.06 cm³/g and 1.91 cm³/g respectively.
 148



149
 150 **Figure 4: Bulk with starch**


151
 152 Fig. 5 shows that WF3 has an increase in tensile index only for an addition of 2% from the
 153 base value of 20.05 Nm/g to a value of 23.67 Nm/g. WF2 had its maximum tensile index at
 154 an addition of 4% with a value of 23.13 Nm/g. At an addition of 8%, 10%, and 15% the
 155 tensile index was lower at 19.17 Nm/g, 20.60 Nm/g, and 17.2 Nm/g respectively. WF1 had

156 its maximum tensile index at an addition of 8% with a value of 24.75 Nm/g. At an addition of
 157 15% the tensile index was lower at 18.50 Nm/g.
 158




159
 160 **Figure 5: Tensile index without starch**
 161

162 Addition of starch and WF increases the tensile index for all WF1 to WF3 as shown in Fig. 6.
 163 Above the base sheet value of 20.05 Nm/g. For WF1 has its peak at a starch addition of 1%
 164 with a tensile index value of 30.70 Nm/g. WF2 and WF3 have their maximum tensile index at
 165 1% with 41.41 Nm/g and 0.75% with a value of 36.26 Nm/g respectively.
 166



167
 168 **Figure 6: Tensile index with starch**
 169


170
 171 Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the brightness value of the manufactured hansheets for different
 172 additions of WF1, WF2, and WF3. A gradually decreasing brightness value with increasing
 173 WF content can be observed for WF1 and WF2, with the lowest brightness of 83.27% and
 174 85.92% respectively, based on the base sheet brightness of 88.51%. WF3 showed a up
 175 to 1% point brightness gain compared to the base sheet brightness of 88.51.



176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184

Figure 7: Brightness


Addition of starch increased the brightness value from 88.51% of the base sheet by up to 4.5% for the bleached WF3 and up to 4% for WF2. WF1 resulted in a up to 2.5- points brightness increase. For all WF, a starch addition of 0.25% resulted in the highest brightness increase. For starch additions of 0.55, 0.75%, 1.00%, 1.25%, and 1.50%, except for WF1 and WF2 which had a brightness increase of 3.5%-points at a starch addition of 1.5%.



185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194

Figure 8: Brightness with starch


Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 show the opacity value of the manufactured handsheets for different additions of WF1, WF2, and WF3. The opacity value of the basis handsheet was 85.64%. A gradually increasing opacity value with increasing WF content can be observed for WF1, WF2, and WF3, with the highest opacity of 95.68% and 92.14% for WF1 and WF3 respectively at the addition of 15%. WF 2 had its highest opacity value at an addition of 10% with an opacity value of 91.13%.



195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202

Figure 9: Opacity


Addition of starch decreased the opacity value from 85.64% of the base sheet by up to 0.9% points for WF1, up to 1.5% points for WF2, and up to 3.2% points for WF3. At a starch addition of 1% WF1 showed a 0.3%-point opacity increase based on the base value of 85.64%.



203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210

Figure 10: Opacity with starch


Fig. 11 shows the smoothness of handsheets for WF1, WF2, and WF3. The initial value for smoothness was 2564 ml/min. for the base sheet. Addition of WF1, WF2, and WF3 decrease the smoothness of the paper due to the higher airflow value. WF1 increase up to 385 ml/min. at 8% WF addition, WF2 and WF3 showed an increase of 314 ml/min. and 301ml/min. at 15% WF addition respectively.



211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221

Figure 11: Smoothness without line pressure

Fig. 12 shows smoothness values with a line pressure of 1.673 kN/m applied to simulate **calendering**?. The line pressure reduces the airflow and improves smoothness of the manufactured handsheets containing WF. Applying the line pressure reduces airflow by 362 ml/min. to 2202 ml/min. for the base sheet, WF1 had a reduction of 31 ml/min. to 118 ml/min., WF2 a reduction between 77 ml/min. to 447 ml/min, WF3 a reduction of 104 ml/min. to 335 ml/min.




222
223
224
225
226
227
228

Figure 12: Smoothness with 1.673 kN/m line pressure


Graphs of Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 show the smoothness value if starch is applied for handsheets containing WF1, WF2, and WF3. The initial value for smoothness was 2564 ml/min. for the base sheet. Addition of starch showed an overall increase of smoothness by reducing the airflow number. WF1 had a maximum decrease below the air flow number of the base sheet

229 of 298 ml/min., WF2 showed a decrease of 600 ml/min., and WF3 showed a 484 ml/min. air
 230 flow decrease.
 231



232
 233 **Figure 13: Smoothness with starch and without line pressure**

234
 235 Fig. 14 shows smoothness values with a line pressure of 1.673 kN/m to the starch containing
 236 handsheets to simulate calendaring. The line pressure reduces the airflow and improves
 237 smoothness of the manufactured handsheets containing WF. Applying the line pressure
 238 reduces airflow by 362 ml/min. to 2202 ml/min. for the base sheet. WF1 had a reduction of
 239 up to 298 ml/min., WF2 a reduction of up to 600 ml/min., and WF3 a reduction of up to 484
 240 ml/min. of air flow.
 241



242
 243 **Figure 14: Smoothness with starch and line pressure of 1.673 kN/m**

244
 245

246 **4. CONCLUSION**

247

248

249 This study shows that WF can be considered an alternative cellulosic-based wood additive
250 for papermaking. This handsheet study showed that spruce WF with a particle distribution
251 between 200 µm to 500 µm and bleached and unbleached WF with a particle distribution of
252 70 µm to 150 µm respectively could have benefits for paper production. WF added at levels
253 of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 15% to a 75 g/m² handsheet increases bulk from 2.20 cm³/g of the
254 base sheet to a maximum of 2.80 cm³/g for the 15% WF addition. Increase of tensile index
255 can be achieved at up to 8% WF addition but is dependent on the WF type used. Maximum
256 tensile index achieved was 24.75 Nm/g based on a base sheet value of 20.05 Nm/g.

257 Addition of starch has a positive influence on the tensile index, with a maximum value of
258 41.41 Nm/g at 1% addition. Bulk values decreased by the addition of starch **hat?** all levels.

259 Brightness value of the manufactured handsheets decreased gradually for the unbleached
260 WF. Bleached WF showed a 1%-point increase above the base sheet brightness of 88.51%.

261 Addition of starch increased the brightness value from 88.51% of the base sheet by up to
262 4.5%. An opacity increase was achieved for all WF addition with the highest opacity value of
263 95.68% at an addition of 15% WF. Addition of starch can decrease the opacity value of up
264 1.5% points.

265 Addition of WF resulted in a decrease in smoothness by increasing the airflow from the base
266 sheet value of 2564 ml/min by 385 ml/min. at 8% WF addition. Adding a line pressure of
267 1.673 kN/m to simulate calendaring resulted in an improved smoothness by reducing the
268 airflow of up to 447 ml/min. by WF addition.

269 Addition of starch showed an overall increase of smoothness by reducing the airflow number
270 by up to 600 ml/min. based on the WF used. By applying a line pressure of 1.673 kN/m
271 to the starch, containing handsheets smoothness can be improved by an additional 600 ml/min.
272 in airflow reduction.

273

274

275

276

277 **7. REFERENCES**

278

279 1. Doelle K, Amaya JJ, Application of calcium carbonate for uncoated digital printing paper
280 from 100% eucalyptus pulp. TAPPI JOURNAL, Jan. 2012;11(1):41-49.

281 2. Lyon SW, Quesada-Pineda HJ, Crawford SD. "Reducing electrical consumption in the
282 forest products industry using lean thinking," *BioRes.* 2014;9(1):1373-1386.

283 3. Clemons CM, Wood flour. In: Xanthos M (ed) Functional fillers for plastics, 2nd ed.
284 Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010:269–290

285 4. Cunningham JJ.: Method for Producing Flour, US Patent No. 1,406,938, patented
286 February 14, 1922.

287 5. Reineke LH, Wood Flower, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Products Laboratory,
288 U.S. Forest Service Research Note FPL-0113., 1966.

289 6. Korte K, Ofte S, Hansmann H, (2016): Compression, relaxation and swelling behaviour of
290 solid wood, wood powder and wood-plastic composites (WPC), *Holztechnologie*
2016;57(6):5-11.

291 7. Karinkanta A, Ämmälä MI, Jouko N, Fine grinding of wood – Overview from wood
292 breakage to applications, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2018;113:31-44.

293 8. Hogan US, Akpan GA, Essien OA, (2011): Wood Flour Moulding Technology:
294 Implications for Technical Education in Nigeria, *African Research review*, 2011;5(2):
295 233-242.

296 9. Dongmei Y, Chuanshan Z, Chaojun W, Daiqi W, Wood Powder Used in Paper Making to
297 Improve Bulkness, *Advanced Materials Research*, 2015;550-553: 3352-3355.

- 298 10. Lee JY, Kim CH, Seo DJ, Lim GB, Kim SY, Park JH, Kim EH, Fundamental study on
299 developing wood powder as an additive of paperboard, TAPPI Journal, 2013;13(11):17-
300 21.
- 301 11. Sung JY, Kim DS, Lee JY, Seo YB, Im CK, Gwon WO, Kim JD, (2014): Application of
302 Conifer Leave Powder to Papermaking Process as an Organic filler, Journal of Korea
303 TAPPI, 2013;46(4):62-68.
- 304 12. Park, JH, Lee, JY, Kim, CH, Kim EH, Effects of Lignocellulosic Bulk agents Made from
305 Agricultural Byproducts on Physical Properties and Drying energy Consumption of
306 Duplex Board, BioResources, 2015;10(4):7889-7897.
- 307 13. TAPPI T 200 sp-06. Laboratory beating of pulp (Valley beater method).
- 308 14. TAPPI T 205 sp-12. Forming handsheets for physical tests of pulp.
- 309 15. TAPPI T 211 om-02. Ash in wood, pulp, paper and paperboard: combustion at 525°C.
- 310 16. TAPPI T220 sp10. Physical testing of pulp handsheets.
- 311 17. TAPPI T227 om-09. Freeness of pulp (Canadian standard method).
- 312 18. TAPPI T 402 sp-13. Standard conditioning and testing atmospheres for paper, board,
313 pulp handsheets.
- 314 19. TAPPI T404 cm-92 Tensile breaking strength and elongation of paper and paperboard
- 315 20. TAPPI T 410 om-08. Grammage of Paper and Paperboard (weight per unit area).
- 316 21. TAPPI T 411 om-10. Thickness (caliper) of paper, paperboard, and combined board.
- 317 22. TAPPI T412 om-06. Moisture in pulp, paper and paperboard.
- 318 23. TAPPI T414 om-12. Internal tearing resistance of paper (Elmendorf-type method).
- 319 24. TAPPI T425 om-06. Opacity of paper (15/d geometry, illuminant A/2°, 89% reflectance
320 backing and paper backing).
- 321 25. TAPPI T 452 om-08. Brightness of pulp, paper and paperboard (directional reflectance
322 at 457 nm).
- 323 26. TAPPI T494 om-06. Tensile properties of paper and paperboard.
- 324 27. TAPPI T 538 om-08. Roughness of Paper and Paperboard (Sheffield method)
- 325