



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_47919
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity, Ethnobotanical potential and sustainability assessment of plants used by traditional healers to treat human cancer in Boyo Division, North-West Region, Cameroon
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Line 5, ABSTRACT, Aims; the author is strongly advised to change the sentence – “Cancer is the leading cause of death in developing countries”. Actually, it is not. Pleas reconcile your statement with Lines 36 - 38 of the Introduction.</b></p> <p><b>Line 10, ABSTRACT, Methods; the author is strongly advised to change “type of human cancer cured” to “type of human cancer allegedly cured”. This is because there are no scientific or published facts that accurately confirms the efficacy of the remedy. If available, please provide.</b></p> <p><b>Line 28, ABSTRACT, Conclusion; the author is strongly advised to change “New anticancer plants were identified” to “New traditionally effective anticancer plants were identified” or a similar statement. The fact is that the efficacy of the herbs has not been confirmed scientifically or medically.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the INTRODUCTION, The author is advised to use the latest statistics for cancer. Please consider using</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- World Health Organization (WHO). "Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC." (2015).</li> </ul> <p>In the INTRODUCTION, Lines 47-51, the author is advised to provide adequate and appropriate references.</p> <p>In the <b>Vulnerability assessment</b>, Line 126, the author should change “seven (7) criteria were adapted from [28, 35, 36]” to “seven (7) criteria were adapted from several studies [28, 35, 36]”</p> <p>In the DISCUSSION, Lines 286-291, the author is advised to provide adequate and appropriate references.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Please provide the voucher number as provided by the herbarium.</p> <p>Please provide source of grants if any.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sharafudeen D. Abubakar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria</b>