



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_47387
Title of the Manuscript:	Telescopic hybrid prosthesis – A case report
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In abstract there is no mention about telescopic hybrid prosthesis - In introduction: there is no description about .telescopic hybrid prosthesis i.e. its indication, advantage and disadvantage compared to telescopic prosthesis - In the case report: there is no description why this case used 'telescopic hybrid prosthesis instead of 'telescopic prosthesis' - In conclusion needs to be added about the successful of this method in this case report - The references that is published more than two decades ago (i.e. no.1) replaced with the new one reference due to this reference is a common text book of prosthodontics. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs to be rewrite as compulsory revision comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janti Sudiono
Department, University & Country	Trisakti University, Indonesia