### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_48175
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidiarrheal and antimotility activities of stem bark extracts of Annona reticulata Linn. in mice model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

# **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the
		manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical.  There are no tannin test results in table 1, but on row 179 and 242 it says revealed the presence of tannin.  The protein test and carbohydrate test results in table 1 are negative but on lines 179-180 it says revealed the presence of protein and carbohydrate.  On row 180 – 192 Why is this discussion not related to the purpose of this study? The discussion here should be adjusted to the purpose and title of research on Antidiarrheal and antimotility activities In reference to all Latin names must be written Italic	mandatory that authors should write his/her reedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	At the end of line 77 letters a should be an On row 212 – 215 We recommend that you mention these abbreviations in the method In line 226, howevr should, however	
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

ne:	Maria Bintang
partment, University & Country	Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)