#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46539
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the success rates of vaginal sonography and hysteroscopy in diagnosis of intrauterine pathologies in inf
Type of the Article	

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## infertile women



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>I strongly recommend you to re-read your paper carefully, as it contains lots of English mistakes and it requires English correction. Also some sentences don't make sense or have errors and duplicates. For example:         <ol> <li>Different technological devices can diagnose intrauterine abnormalities the most common of which are certain procedures such as hysteroscopy and transvaginal sonography (TVS)</li> <li>The protocol of the study, the brands of the instruments, and the materials used to conduct the procedure. (incomplete sentence)</li> <li>Check the Results section: information about polyp diagnostics is duplicated (the whole paragraph).</li> <li>The paragraph about Table 4 is actually about myoma, not polyp. Compare the data on method characteristics with abstract data. When you correct the paragraph to "myoma" you would have to correct this number: The diagnostic specificity rate of both VTS and hysteroscopy in diagnosing polyp in the infertile women was 92.30%, and therefore the two methods had equal specificity rate in diagnosing uterine myoma in the studied infertile women (Table 4).</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol> <li>In the abstract conclusion I would write:hysteroscopy is more sensitive for endometrial hyperplasia diagnosis</li> <li>Examining for and diagnosing uterine abnormalities can affect the result of ART and is considered one of the important therapeutic procedures before treatment of infertility. What does this acronym stand for?</li> </ol>	
Optional/General comments		

## <u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dr. Ihor Atabiekov
Department, University & Country	Russia

# eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write