



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS_JAMPS_26502</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on target-specificity and biological activity of Streptococcus serum antibody and amikacin sulfate conjugates</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) <b>Methods and chemical basis for making the anti-sera- amikacin sulfate conjugates must be given in more details;</b></li> <li>2) <b>Mice were applied to validate the rabbit anti-Streptococcus serum antibody and amikacin sulfate conjugates. Data about potential mouse anti-rabbit xenogenic responses must be analysed and presented in this study before drawing any conclusion;</b></li> <li>3) <b>Streptococcus challenge experiment should have generated more data, such as systemic infection and local infection, especially lung infection. Since the conjugate is much larger than non-conjugated drug alone, it is questionable about whether the conjugate form is better than the free drug in protection of systemic infection and the distal lung infection, etc. These points must be discussed based on the data obtained.</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Please clarify the following experimental design. "<b>Streptococcus</b>" and the "<b>conjugates</b>" are not comparable for an immunogenicity study.  <b>"171 Conjugates decrease Streptococcus serum antibody immunogenicity</b>                      172 Specific antiserum was generated by immunizing rabbits with either <b>Streptococcus</b> or the</li> </ol>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	173 conjugates.” 2) Please check the following. Should it be 0.2mL/mouse”? “120 and then injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into the mice (0.2 mL/rabbit).”	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Denong Wang</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>SRI International Tumor Glycomics Laboratory, USA</i></b>