



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43814
Title of the Manuscript:	Abilities of Tectona grandis and Celtis zenkeri (hardwood) sawdust as substrates of Pleurotus species and their indigenous fungi
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title not comprehensive, because the article cites characteristics of nutritional composition, which are greatly explored in the results and discussion, review the title. - abstract not presented on nutritional composition - methodology of isolation and identification of resident fungi needs to be better detailed, explained - tables and text without units of measurements in some parts - line 51 - ... methodology adapted / modified based on Gbolagade (2006) ... - Calculation of biological efficiency as performed? - conclusion = resident fungi cited in the text can produce toxins and deteriorate the basidiomas, so review the discussion and conclusion about them. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Bernardi
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil