



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_42178
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Effect of Intraoperative 1 mg/kg/h and 2 mg/kg/h IV Lidocaine Infusion on Postoperative Pain and Nausea-Vomiting in Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass Surgery
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. This is a negative study. The result is negative. It could have several reasons: power might not be enough; sample size could be too small; or the surgery could not be a painful one (hard to compare the pain scores).</p> <p>2. There are no basic data on the 2 groups: age, weight, duration of surgery, the amount of intraoperative pain medicine used, etc. No way to know the difference between the 2 groups.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are quite few redundant sentences, eg Line 48 to 55; Line 73 to 85.	
Optional/General comments	Statistics needs to be checked carefully.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joe Liu
Department, University & Country	Washington State University, USA