



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_45104
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study on the Effect of Matricaria CHamomile and Achillea Millefolium capsules on primary dysmenorrhea intensity of dormitory students of Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, 2017.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To replace "introduction" with "background" - Methods: to harmonize the year in this sub section with that in the "title" <p>MATERIALS & METHODS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Study participants were selected and various parameters recorded; instance on who performed the tasks (researcher) is not necessary <p>"FINDINGS" should be replaced with "RESULTS"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The first sentence of this section should be revised; 18-22 years constitutes an age range, not mean age. Authors should as well include the mean age of each group. - Each table should directly follow the corresponding result comments. - Table 1: to include the mean age of each study group and the statistics. <p style="text-align: center;">Otherwise work is well done</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tabé Franklin Nyenty</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Ngaoundere, Cameroon</i>