



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46204
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of hepatoprotective properties of Trichosanthes dioica leaves extract in paracetamol induced hepatotoxicity in rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author should provide institutional animal ethical committee approval letter reference number.</p> <p>Authors should check for paracetamol dose. Provide standard reference for paracetamol dose for intoxication.</p> <p>Provide reference for duration of treatment protocol especially for paracetamol. Paracetamol need not to administer on daily basis. Provide standard reference for paracetamol administration for 7 days.</p> <p>Graphical representation did not show SEM. Author should represent data as Mean\pmSEM.</p> <p>Author must represent the level of significance with specified symbols in all tables.</p> <p>Author must justify, why table and graphs are need to represent same data. Table or Graphs (any one) is sufficient to present the data.</p> <p>On what basis the test drug doses are selected?</p> <p>Why histopathological studies are not done in supporting to the data?</p> <p>Which method of blood collection was used for enzyme estimation?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gunjegaonkar Shivshankar M.</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>JSPM's Charak College of Pharmacy and Research, India</i>