



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46877
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of aromatherapy with citrus aurantium on anxiety during MRI imaging in patients with spinal disorders: a randomized clinical trial
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is interesting, bringing new information about the use of aromatherapy in other areas. It can be published, but with some revisions and additions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - to add more information on the chemical composition and therapeutic actions of the essential oil of C. aurantium. - Some phrases (which are yellow in the text) must be rewritten. - What solvent did the essential oil dilute? - "aroma" with essential oil should be replaced <p>Although, only some minor spelling errors were seen, a revision in English language style is desirable, thus becoming the text more comfortable, clearly and fluent to readers. The manuscript can be published, but with some revisions. The corrections and the requirements mentioned in the original manuscript, highlighted in yellow.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Daniela Benedec</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Iuliu Hațieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</i>