



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_47014</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison and Evaluation of Seven Animal Ischemic Skin Wound Models</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract the author have written "Results: A detailed and concise description of the seven types of ischemia as well as their results are presented in Tables 1 -7" which is not acceptable.</p> <p>Search strategy is incomplete. PubMed database only is not sufficient.</p> <p>Key words is also written in in-appropriate way.</p> <p>in line 25-29 "Conclusion: The authors believe that the rabbits' ear ischemic model and rat pressure ulcer models, and localized ischemic wound, have made the greatest contribution to our enhanced understanding of the pathophysiology of the ischemic wounds and increased production of new therapeutic protocols."</p> <p>How you believe? In what scientific way?</p> <p>in line 130 "Figure 1.Localized ischemic wound model, A: ischemic wound; B:non ischemic control wound" from where you have taken the picture? is it yours? if not kindly cite.</p> <p>Is this paper a systematic review? kindly mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria?</p> <p>The language of this paper needs to be corrected. there is a need of proper proof reading.</p> <p>Check your reference inside the manuscript.</p> <p>Kindly mentioned all figure legend.</p> <p>Paper is not according to journal standard formatting guidelines.</p> <p>Tables are not synchronized and well organized.</p> <p>The results of six lines in unacceptable and with no logic and understanding.</p> <p>If this is a systematic review then follow PRISMA guidelines.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
--	--	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Shahzad Aslam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pakistan International Human Right Organization, Pakistan</b>