



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47721
Title of the Manuscript:	Error Analysis of Adsorption Isotherm Models for Sulfamethazine onto Multi walled carbon nanotubes
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	"is as low as at ppm to ppb level" Please clarify the idea. I think more data should be provided (maybe as supplementary material) to demonstrate the findings (f.e. SMZ reduction in different temperatures, pH ...) Conclusion could be modified to highlight the importance of the findings achieved.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Interesting and a good start point for deeper analysis. However, the article is strongly centred on adsorption isotherms (theoretical explanation) and lacks of showing enough data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Javier Rodríguez Villanueva</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain.</i>