



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48030
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuroprotective effect of different doses of VitamineD3 in diabetic-induced Alzheimer rat model.
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I think that authors should answer to the following questions: Which was the value of HbA1c in each group? What was the type of treatment given to animals? If insulin treatment was then they should report the dose of insulin for each group.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think that, the methodology is appropriate. The manuscript is generally clearly written and the discussion / conclusions are acceptable. Overall, the data are not of interest	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Triantafyllos Didangelos
Department, University & Country	AHEPA University Hospital, Greece

