



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_48192
Title of the Manuscript:	FungalDisease Detection of Post-Harvest Banana and its Eco-Friendly Quality Improvement Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments T	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read characterization of crown rot disease of banana fruit and eco-friendly quality improvement approach during storage. 2. The abstract should have two lines of introduction. 3. The study design used in the experiment is incorrect. The design should be a factorial one. Please bring out the factors and treatment combinations and write a concise experimental design. 4. The abstract is devoid of result please write the result with figures in the abstract. 5. There are many gaps in the materials and methods. The methods are shallow you must give full details of all the procedures in the materials and methods and not a summary. Rewrite this section again giving full details. 6. Figure 1 should come under materials and methods and not results. 7. The results section shows figures for biochemical test but the procedures on how these tests were carried out did not reflect in the materials and methods. Please write out a full procedure on how the tests were conducted. 8. Please write out how TSS, TTA, AS were conducted. 9. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications. 10. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The materials and methods are too shallow. Please, be more detailed.	
Optional/General comments	Good work by authors but the manuscript needs to be detailed to enhance understanding.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Liamngee Kator</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Benue State University, Nigeria.</i>