

A play with four virtual gravitational constants associated with the four basic interactions

Abstract: When heavenly bodies are made up of tiny atoms, it is imperative to find the correlations that might exist among 'atoms' and 'heavenly body' as whole. In this context, **by considering three virtual gravitational constants assumed to be associated with the three atomic interactions i.e. (electromagnetic, strong and weak interactions) and by considering four basic semi empirical (reference) relations pertaining to the four gravitational constants, a bold attempt is made to estimate the Newtonian gravitational constant (G_N). Its fitted and recommended values are $6.679855 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}/\text{sec}^2$ and $6.67408 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}/\text{sec}^2$ respectively and error is - 0.08653%. As current unification paradigm is failing in estimating (G_N) from atomic and nuclear physical constants, our work can be recommended for further study.**

Keywords: Newtonian gravitational constant, Three atomic gravitational constants

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well established that, on large scales, stars, galaxies and universe are controlled by 'gravity' and on small scales, atoms and atomic nuclei are controlled by 'quantum mechanics'. It is also well established that, stars are made up of so many atoms, galaxies are made up of so many stars and universe is made up of so many galaxies. Very unfortunate thing is that, so far, either qualitatively or quantitatively, at atomic and nuclear scales, there exist no generally accepted unified theoretical models, no formulae or no numerical procedures for estimating the magnitude of the Newtonian gravitational constant, G_N . So far, many laboratory experiments had been carried out for estimating the magnitude of G_N . Its current recommended CODATA [2,3,4] value is $6.67408 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2}$ and relative standard uncertainty is 4.7×10^{-5} . 2007 onwards, scientists and engineers are trying to estimate the magnitude of G_N by 'Atomic interferometry' and gradiometers [5, 6,7]. In this method, cold atoms are allowed to have free fall under gravity. Clearly speaking, an atomic gravity gradiometer is used to measure the differential acceleration experienced by two freely falling samples of laser-cooled rubidium atoms under the influence of nearby tungsten masses.

1.1 To estimate the Newtonian gravitational constant in a theoretical approach

To estimate the value of G_N in a theoretical approach, we would like to suggest the following points.

- (1) As there is a large gap in between nuclear and Planck scales, with currently believed notion of unification paradigm, it seems impossible to implement gravity in atomic, nuclear and particle physics [1].
- (2) In a unified approach, one can see a great initiative taken by J. E. Brandenburg [8].
- (3) G_N is a man created empirical constant and is having no physical existence. Clearly

- 38 speaking, it is not real but virtual. For understanding the secrets of large scale
39 gravitational effects, scientists consider it as a physical constant.
- 40 (4) In the same way, each atomic interaction can be allowed to have its own gravitational
41 constant [9-15].
- 42 (5) With further study, their magnitudes can be refined for a better fit and understanding
43 of the nature.

44 1.2 History of the three atomic gravitational constants

- 45
- 46
- 47 (1) Since 1974, K. Tennakone, Abdus Salam, C. Sivaram, K.P.Sinha, Dj. Sijacki, Y.
48 Ne'eman, J.J. Perng, J. Strathdee, Usha Raut, V. de Sabbata, E. Recami, T.R.
49 Mongan, Robert Oldershaw and S.G. Fedosin like many scientists proposed the
50 existence of 'Nuclear' or 'strong' gravitational constant with a magnitude
51 approximately (10^{35} to 10^{39}) times the Newtonian gravitational constant. In this
52 context, one can see a detailed discussion by F. Akinto and Farida Tahir in their
53 arXiv preprint [16].
- 54 (2) In 2010, 2011 and 2012, in a series of papers, we proposed the existence of
55 'electromagnetic' gravitational constant [17,18,19]. In 2016 Franck Delplace also
56 proposed its existence [20].
- 57 (3) In 2013, Roberto Onofrio proposed the existence of 'weak' gravitational constant
58 [21].

59 2. FOUR SEMI EMPIRICAL REFERENCE RELATIONS

- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63 1) Interaction constants are connected both with global phenomena of physics and with
64 phenomena at small distances, such as quantum gravity. Therefore, the search for
65 relations among the constants of the four types of interactions is important, relevant and
66 necessary. At present, there exist no basic formulae or mechanisms using by which one
67 can develop at least models with ad hoc relations. It would be important to consider in
68 detail such theories as microscopic quantum gravity and a combination of the fields
69 inherent in the unified description of the four interactions.
- 70 2) According to Rosi et al [1]: There is no definitive relationship indeed between G_N and the
71 other fundamental constants and no theoretical prediction for its value to test the
72 experimental results. Improving the knowledge of G_N has not only a pure metrological
73 interest, but is also important for the key role that this fundamental constant plays in
74 theories of gravitation, cosmology, particle physics, astrophysics, and geophysical
75 models.
- 76
- 77 3) The most desirable cases of any unified description are:
- 78
- 79 a) To implement gravity in microscopic physics and to estimate the magnitude of
80 the Newtonian gravitational constant (G_N).
- 81 b) To develop a model of microscopic quantum gravity.
- 82 c) To simplify the complicated issues of known physics. (Understanding nuclear
83 stability, nuclear binding energy, nuclear charge radii and neutron life time etc.)
- 84 d) To predict new effects, arising from a combination of the fields inherent in the
85 unified description. (Understanding strong coupling constant, Fermi's weak
86 coupling constant and radiation constants etc.)
- 87
- 88 4) Objectives of this short communication are:
- 89

- 90 a) To see the possibility of estimating the magnitude of Newtonian gravitational
 91 constant in a theoretical approach within the scope of nuclear physics.
 92 b) To see the possibility of understanding the historical mysteries of the proton-
 93 electron mass ratio, the radiation constant ($\hbar c$), the strong coupling constant
 94 (α_s) and the Fermi's weak coupling constant (G_f).
- 95
 96 (5) With reference to our recent publications and conference presentations [9-15], we
 97 propose the following set of four semi empirical REFERENCE relations. In a scientific
 98 approach and with further study, these 'ad hoc' relations can be analyzed for extracting
 99 possible physics. Let,

Electromagnetic gravitational constant = G_e
Nuclear gravitational constant = G_N
Weak gravitational constant = G_w
Mass of proton = m_p
Mass of neutron = m_n
Mass of electron = m_e
Elementary charge = e
Reduced Planck's constant = \hbar
Speed of light = c
Fermi's Weak coupling constant = G_f

112
$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} \cong 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_e m_e^2}{e^2}} \cong \left(\frac{G_e m_e^2}{\hbar c} \right) \left(\frac{G_s m_p^2}{\hbar c} \right) \quad (1)$$

113
$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hbar c &\cong \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e} \right)^2 (G_e^2 G_N)^{1/3} m_p^2 \\ \text{(Or)} \quad m_p &\cong \left(\frac{\hbar c m_e^2}{(G_e^2 G_N)^{1/3}} \right)^{1/4} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

114
$$G_f \cong \left[(G_e m_p^2)^2 (G_N m_p^2) \right]^{1/3} \left(\frac{2G_s m_p}{c^2} \right)^2 \cong \frac{4G_w \hbar^2}{c^2} \quad (3)$$

115
$$\frac{G_w}{G_N} \cong \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e} \right)^{10} \quad (4)$$

- 116
 117
 118 (6) Based on relation (1), magnitudes of (G_e, G_s) can be estimated. Based on relation
 119 (2), magnitude of G_N can be estimated. Based on relation (3), magnitudes of
 120 (G_e, G_w) can be estimated [21, 22]. Again, based on relation (4), G_N can be
 121 estimated. Estimated values seem to be:
 122

123

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_e &\cong 2.374335 \times 10^{37} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2} \\
 G_s &\cong 3.329561 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2} \\
 G_w &\cong 2.909745 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2} \\
 G_N &\cong 6.679855 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2} \\
 G_F &\cong 1.44021 \times 10^{-62} \text{ J.m}^3
 \end{aligned}$$

124

125

126 3. OTHER RELATIONS AND DISCUSSION

127

128 (1) It may be noted that, since 1992, J. E Brandenburg is working on 'GEM unification theory'
 129 [8] and proposed an interesting and unified relation,

130 $\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_N m_p m_e} \cong \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \left\{ \exp \sqrt{\frac{m_p}{m_e}} \right\}^2$. Compared to J. E Brandenburg and other available

131 models of current unification theories, in this paper, with reference to three atomic
 132 gravitational constants, we present a variety of multipurpose arithmetic relations pertaining
 133 to nuclear, electroweak and astrophysical applications. In a verifiable approach, we are
 134 working on deriving them [12,15] from basic principles.

135

136 (2) With reference to Planck mass, we noticed that,

137

138

$$\frac{\pi R_0^2}{\pi R_{pl}^2} \cong \frac{G_s m_p^2}{G_N \hbar c} \cong \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e}\right)^{12} \tag{5}$$

139

140

141

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where, } R_0 &\cong \frac{2 G_s m_p}{c^2}, \\
 R_{pl} &\cong \frac{2 G_N M_{pl}}{c^2} \cong 2 \sqrt{\frac{G_N \hbar}{c^3}}
 \end{aligned}$$

142

143 (3) Apart from these four gravitational constants, it is possible to assume the existence of a
 144 nuclear elementary charge in such a way that,

145

146

$$\frac{e_s}{e} \cong \left(\frac{G_s m_p^2}{\hbar c}\right) \cong 2.946355 \tag{6}$$

147

148

$$\frac{e_s^2}{e^2} \cong \left(\frac{G_s m_p^2}{\hbar c}\right)^2 \cong \left(\frac{G_s m_p^3}{G_e m_e^3}\right) \tag{7}$$

149

150

$$\frac{e_s G_s}{e G_w} \cong \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e}\right)^2 \tag{8}$$

151

152

Strong coupling constant [15],

$$\alpha_s \cong \left(\frac{e}{e_s} \right)^2 \cong \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G_s m_p^2} \right)^2 \cong \left(\frac{G_e m_e^3}{G_s m_p^3} \right) \cong 0.115194 \quad (9)$$

153

154 (4) Proton-Neutron-Nucleon stability can be understood with [23],
155

156

$$A_s \cong 2Z + s(2Z)^2 \cong 2Z + (4s)Z^2$$

$$\cong 2Z + kZ^2 \cong Z(2 + kZ)$$

where

$$s \cong \left\{ \left(\frac{e_s}{m_p} \right) \div \left(\frac{e}{m_e} \right) \right\} \cong 0.001605 \quad (10)$$

$$\cong \frac{G_s m_p m_e}{\hbar c} \cong \frac{\hbar c}{G_e m_e^2} \cong \frac{G_s^2}{G_e G_w}$$

and $(4s) \cong k \cong 0.0064185$

157

158 (5) Understanding nuclear binding energy with a single energy coefficient of magnitude 10.0
159 MeV is a challenging task and so far, except Ghahramany et al [24,25], no one could
160 attempt to do that. For $(Z \geq 7)$ nuclear binding energy can be fitted with,

161

162

$$B_A \cong \left\{ A - \left(\frac{kAZ}{2.531} + 3.531 \right) - \left(\frac{A_s - A}{A_s} \right)^2 \right\} \times 10.09 \text{ MeV} \quad (11)$$

where, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{e_s^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 (G_s m_p / c^2)} \cong 10.09 \text{ MeV} \\ (m_n - m_p) / m_e \cong \ln(1/\sqrt{k}) \cong 2.531 \end{array} \right\}$

163

164 (6) Coulombic energy coefficient being 0.7 MeV, with reference to $\ln \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_s m_p m_e} \right) \cong 1.515$,

165

166

167

168

169

170

$$B_A \cong (A - A^{2/3} - 1) * 15.3 \text{ MeV}$$

$$- \frac{Z^2}{A^{1/3}} * 0.7 \text{ MeV} - \frac{(A - 2Z)^2}{A} * 23.0 \text{ MeV} \quad (12)$$

171

172

173

174

(7) With further research in nuclear astrophysics, it is certainly possible to understand the combined effects of Newtonian gravitational constant and proposed nuclear gravitational constant. Considering the ratio of nuclear gravitational constant and Newtonian

175 gravitational constant, estimated masses of white dwarfs, neutron stars and black holes
 176 [26,27], can be fitted approximately. For example,
 177

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_x &\approx \left(\frac{G_s}{G_N} \right) \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_N}} \approx 0.473 M_\odot \\
 M_x &\approx \left(\frac{G_s}{G_N} \right) \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_N}} \approx 1.373 M_\odot \\
 M_x &\approx \left(\frac{G_s}{G_N} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G_N}} \approx 5.456 M_\odot
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

179

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_x &\approx \sqrt{\frac{G_s}{G_N}} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_N m_p} \approx 0.023 M_\odot \\
 M_x &\approx \sqrt{\frac{G_s}{G_N}} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_N m_p} \approx 0.2 M_\odot \\
 M_x &\approx \sqrt{\frac{G_s}{G_N}} \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G_N m_n} \right) \approx 3.174 M_\odot
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

181

182 (8) At the moment of a neutron star's birth, the nucleons that compose it have a temperature of
 183 around 10^{11} to 10^{12} K [28]. Considering M_x as an upper limit for neutron stars and lower
 184 limit for black holes, corresponding critical temperature can be fitted with,
 185

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_x &\approx \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi k_B G_N \sqrt{M_x M_{pl}}} \\
 \text{where, } M_{pl} &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G_N}} \approx 2.176 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kg}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

187

188 (9) Considering the following relations (16) to (26), we are trying to understand the possible
 189 role and interplay of the three proposed atomic gravitational constants. If one is able to
 190 find the physics connected with (G_e, G_w, G_s) , mystery of the reduced Planck's constant
 191 can be explored.
 192

193

194 a) With reference to electromagnetic and Newtonian gravitational constants, it is possible to show that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Planck mass,} \\
 M_{pl} &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G_N}} \equiv \left(\frac{G_e}{G_N} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{m_p^2}{m_e} \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

196

197 b) With reference to nuclear and electromagnetic gravitational constants, it is possible to
 198 show that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Bohr radius, } a_0 &\equiv \left(\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_e m_e^2}{e^2} \right) \left(\frac{G_s m_p}{c^2} \right) \\
 &\equiv 5.2918 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

200

201

Atomic radius,

$$R_{atom} \cong \left(\frac{2\sqrt{G_s G_e m_p}}{c^2} \right) \cong 33.1 \text{ picometer} \quad (18)$$

202

203

204

205

- c) With reference to proposed nuclear elementary charge, nuclear and electromagnetic gravitational constants,

206

$$\sqrt{\frac{e_s^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_s m_p m_e}} \cong 2\pi \quad (19)$$

207

208

$$hc \cong \sqrt{\frac{e_s^2 G_s m_p^3}{4\pi\epsilon_0 m_e}} \cong \sqrt{\left(\frac{e_s^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\right)} (G_e m_e^2)$$

$$\hbar c \cong \sqrt{(G_s m_p m_e)(G_e m_e^2)} \quad (20)$$

209

210

211

212

- d) With reference to the nuclear gravitational constant and nuclear elementary charge,

- I. Proton magnetic moment can be expressed with,

213

$$\mu_p \cong \frac{e_s \hbar}{2m_p} \cong \frac{e G_s m_p}{2c} \cong 1.488142 \times 10^{-26} \text{ J/T} \quad (21)$$

214

215

216

- II. Neutron magnetic moment can be expressed with,

217

$$\mu_n \cong \frac{(e_s - e) \hbar}{2m_n} \cong 9.8171 \times 10^{-27} \text{ J/T} \quad (22)$$

218

219

220

- e) With reference to the three atomic gravitational constants, Bohr magneton can be expressed with,

221

$$\mu_B \cong \frac{e \hbar}{2m_e} \cong \left(\frac{G_s^2}{G_e G_w} \right) \left(\frac{e G_e m_e}{2c} \right) \cong \frac{e G_s^2 m_e}{2G_w c}$$

$$\cong \frac{e \sqrt{(G_s m_p)(G_e m_e)}}{2c} \quad (23)$$

222

223

224

- f) Nuclear charge radii can be addressed with [29],

225

226

$$R_{(Z,A)} \cong \left\{ Z^{1/3} + \left(\sqrt{Z(A-Z)} \right)^{1/3} \right\} \left(\frac{G_s m_p}{c^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

227 g) With reference to electromagnetic and weak gravitational constants, 'bottle method' of
228 neutron life time can be fitted with [30],
229

$$230 \quad t_n \cong \left(\frac{G_e}{G_w} \right) \left(\frac{G_e m_n^2}{(m_n - m_p) c^3} \right) \cong 874.94 \text{ sec} \quad (25)$$

231
232 It may be noted that, relativistic mass of neutron seems to play a crucial role in
233 understanding the 'beam' method of increasing neutron life time. It can be understood
234 with,
235

$$236 \quad t_n \propto \frac{m_n^2}{[1 - (v^2/c^2)]} \quad (26)$$

237 238 4. CONCLUSION

239
240 Current unification paradigm is failing in developing a 'practical unification procedure' [1].
241 Even though our approach is speculative, role played by the four gravitational constants
242 seems to be fairly natural. This kind of approach may help in producing a variety of such
243 relations by using which in near future, an absolute set of relations can be developed.
244 Proceeding further, estimated absolute theoretical value of G_n can be considered as a
245 standard reference for future experiments. By implementing the four such gravitational
246 constants in String theory models, it may be possible to explore the hidden unified physics.
247 With further study, a practical model of materialistic quantum gravity can be developed and
248 magnitude of the Newtonian gravitational constant can be estimated in a theoretical approach
249 bound to Fermi scale.
250

251
252 Ethical: NA
253 Consent: NA
254

255 REFERENCES

256
257 [1] G. Rosi, F. Sorrentino, L. Cacciapuoti, M. Prevedelli, and G. M. Tino. Precision
258 measurement of the Newtonian gravitational constant using cold atoms, *Nature* **510**
259 (7506), 518–521 (2014).
260 [2] P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell, and B. N. Taylor, CODATA recommended values of the
261 fundamental constants: 2014, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035009 (2016).

- 262 [3] C. Rothleitner and S. Schlamminger. Measurements of the Newtonian constant of
263 gravitation, *G. Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 88, 111101 (2017)
- 264 [4] Li, Qing et al. Measurements of the gravitational constant using two independent
265 methods. *Nature* **560**, 582–588 (2018)
- 266 [5] G. Lamporesi et al. Determination of the Newtonian Gravitational Constant Using Atom
267 Interferometry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 050801 (2008)
- 268 [6] G. Rosi, Challenging the big G measurement with atoms and light. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol.*
269 *Opt. Phys.* **49**(20), 202002 (2016)
- 270 [7] B. Canuel et al. Exploring gravity with the MIGA large scale atom interferometer. *Science*
271 *reports*, 8:14064 (2018)
- 272 [8] John E. Brandenburg. The GEMS (Gravity-EM Super) Unification Theory: the Unification
273 of the Four Forces of Nature, Prediction of New 21 MeV and 22 MeV Particles, and
274 Correspondence with Electro Weak Theory. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering*
275 *Science Studies*. Vol. 2 Issue 10, 968-984 (2016).
- 276 [9] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. Towards a workable model of final
277 unification. *International Journal of Mathematics and Physics* 7, No1,117-130. (2016)
- 278 [10] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. Understanding the basics of final
279 unification with three gravitational constants associated with nuclear, electromagnetic and
280 gravitational interactions. *Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences, Radiation and*
281 *Applications Vol-4, No-1, 1-19.* (2017)
- 282 [11] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. A Virtual Model of Microscopic Quantum
283 Gravity. *Prespacetime Journal*, Vol 9, Issue 1, pp. 58-82 (2018).
- 284 [12] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. On the role of four gravitational
285 constants in nuclear structure. To be appeared in *Mapna Journal of Sciences*, Christ
286 University, Bangalore, India
- 287 [13] Seshavatharam U. V. S et al. Understanding the constructional features of materialistic
288 atoms in the light of strong nuclear gravitational coupling. *Materials Today: 3/10PB*,
289 *Proceedings 3 pp. 3976-3981* (2016)
- 290 [14] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. Fermi scale applications of strong
291 (nuclear) gravity-1 *Proceedings of the DAE Symp. on Nucl. Phys.* 63 72-73. (2018)
- 292 [15] Seshavatharam U.V.S and Lakshminarayana S. Gravity in the Shadow of Stable Atoms
293 and Their Three Interactions. To be presented in LXIX International Conference,
294 'Nucleus-2019', Dubna, Russia, 1–5 July 2019.
295 (<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/201901.0271/v2>)
- 296 [16] Akinto O. F and Farida Tahir. *Strong Gravity Approach to QCD and General Relativity.*
297 *arXiv:1606.06963v3* (2017)
- 298 [17] Seshavatharam U. V. S and Lakshminarayana S. Role of Avogadro number in grand
299 unification. *Hadronic Journal*. Vol-33, No 5, p 513. (2010)
- 300 [18] Seshavatharam U. V. S and Lakshminarayana S. To confirm the existence of atomic
301 gravitational constant. *Hadronic journal*, Vol-34, No 4, p 379 (2011)
- 302 [19] Seshavatharam U. V. S and Lakshminarayana S. Molar electron mass and the basics of
303 TOE. *Journal of Nuclear and Particle Physics.* 2(6): 132 -141, (2012)
- 304 [20] Franck Delplace. *Fluid Mechanics at Atomic Scale.* *Fluid Mech Open Acc* 3:2 (2016)
- 305 [21] Roberto Onofrio. On weak interactions as short-distance manifestations of gravity.
306 *Modern Physics Letters A* 28, 1350022 (2013)
- 307 [22] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), *Chin. Phys. C*, 40, 100001, 2016 and 2017
308 update
- 309 [23] P. Roy Chowdhury et al. Modified Bethe-Weizsacker mass formula with isotonic shift and
310 new driplines. *Mod.Phys.Lett. A20* 1605-1618. (2005)
- 311 [24] N. Ghahramany et al. New approach to nuclear binding energy in integrated nuclear
312 model. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics* 2012, 6:3
- 313 [25] N. Ghahramany et al. Stability and Mass Parabola in Integrated Nuclear Model. *Universal*
314 *Journal of Physics and Application* 1(1): 18-25, (2013).

- 315 [26] Ludwig, Hendrik & Ruffini, Remo. (2014). Gamow's Calculation of the Neutron Star
316 Critical Mass Revised. *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*. 65. 10.3938/jkps.65.892.
317 [27] I.F. Mirabel. The formation of stellar black holes. *New Astronomy Reviews* Volume 78, 1-
318 15 (2017)
319 [28] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_star
320 [29] T. Bayram, S. Akkoyun, S. O. Kara and A. Sinan, New Parameters for Nuclear Charge
321 Radius Formulas, *Acta Physica Polonica B*. 44(8), 1791-1799 (2013)
322 [30] RW Pattie Jr et al. Measurement of the neutron lifetime using a magneto-gravitational
323 trap and in situ detection. *Science* 11, Vol. 360, Issue 6389, pp. 627-632 (2018)

UNDER PEER REVIEW