

EFFECT OF BIOFERTILIZERS AND BIOCONTROL AGENTS IN OFF SEASON BRINJAL ON  
GROWTH AND YIELD UNDER LOW COST POLYHOUSE

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the combined effect of biofertilizers and biocontrol agents on growth and yield of *Solanum melongena* L. under naturally ventilated polyhouse during off season in the experimental polyhouse of the College of Agricultural Engineering and Post Harvest Technology (CAEPHT), Central Agricultural University (CAU), Ranipool, Sikkim. The experiment was planned with 3 treatments and six replications viz. T<sub>1</sub>- FYM 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, T<sub>2</sub>- FYM 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> + biofertilizer (a mixture of *Azotobacter* + PSB @ 10 g/kg FYM each), T<sub>3</sub>- FYM 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> + biofertilizer + biocontrol agent (a mixture of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Trichoderma* @ 5 g/kg FYM each). There was significant variation in vegetative growth and yield among all the treatments. The maximum plant height (45.62 cm), the number of branches/ plant (11.17) and the number of leaves/ plant (50.05), the number of fruits/ plant (38.9) and fruit yield/ plant (810 g) were observed with treatment T<sub>3</sub> which was at par with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> and were significantly higher than the treatment T<sub>1</sub> receiving FYM singly. Organic manure (FYM) inoculated with biofertilizers may therefore, be recommended for organic brinjal production for cultivation under naturally ventilated polyhouses in Sikkim (India) and application of biocontrol agents may be limited to areas having some history of occurrence of diseases.

Key words: *Brinjal*, *biofertilizers*, *biocontrol agents* and *naturally ventilated polyhouse*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Brinjal or eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) is an important solanaceous vegetable crop widely grown in the subtropical and tropical regions of the world. It is of much importance as a warm weather vegetable crop of Far East being grown extensively in India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, China and the Philippines. In India, it is one of the most common, popular and principal vegetable crops grown throughout the country. Brinjal occupies 669 Thousand Ha of total area and produces 12400 Thousand MT) [1]. In the southern states with mild climatic conditions, its harvest period is prolonged whereas in

28 the northern parts it is shortened. It is a versatile crop adapted to different agro-climatic regions and can  
29 be grown throughout the year in South India whereas in the hilly regions, it is cultivated only in the  
30 summer season.

31 Biofertilizer is a substance which contains living microorganisms which, when applied to seed, plant  
32 root, or soil, colonizes the rhizosphere of the plant and promotes the growth by providing essential  
33 nutrients or make available primary nutrients to the host plant[2]. The use of biofertilizers is beneficial in  
34 regenerating the soil health by enriching fertility and fulfilling plant nutrient requirements by supplying the  
35 organic nutrients through microorganism and their byproducts [3]. Microorganism in biofertilizer provides  
36 three primary nutrients N, P and K through atmospheric nitrogen fixation, phosphorous solubilization, and  
37 potash mobilization which have potential to reduce the use of chemical fertilizers to the tune of 50% and  
38 increase the productivity upto 20% [4][5] [6] [7] [8].

39 The major constraint in the production of brinjal is the bacterial wilt disease caused by *Ralstonia*  
40 *solanacearum* which constitutes a serious obstacle to the cultivation of the economically important brinjal  
41 among other crops, causing total damage of plantations before as well as after bearing fruits [9].  
42 Biological control could have an important role in the management of bacterial wilt [10]. Effective  
43 management of bacterial wilt of brinjal by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in field experiment signifies its  
44 potentiality and scope as a plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) when formulated using effective  
45 substrate carrier and adhesive[9].But reportson the use of a combination of biocontrol agents and  
46 biofertilizers in the quality and quantity production of brinjal are very scanty. *Trichoderma* and  
47 *P. fluorescens* are effective against damping off, collar rot and seedling blight diseases of  
48 vegetables [11][12][13][14].

49 Sikkim being an organic state, the demand for organic vegetables is very high. Therefore, there is a  
50 need to produce vegetables with high quality and yield through an organic mode of farming. Organic  
51 farming through the use of a combination of biofertilizers and biocontrol agents along with locally  
52 available farm manures (FYM, vermicompost, etc.), not only gives the quality organic produce but, also  
53 sustains the soil health and environment friendly practices for brinjal cultivation in the terrace farm lands  
54 of Sikkim. Keeping above points in view, present investigation has been undertaken to investigate the

55 effect of biofertilizers and biocontrol agents in enhancing growth and yield of brinjal under low cost  
56 naturally ventilated polyhouse (NVP) during the winter season.

## 57 2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

58 An experiment was conducted during October, 2012 to March, 2013 at the All India Coordinated  
59 Research Project on Plasticulture Engineering and Technologies (AICRP on PET) experimental field of  
60 College of Agricultural Engineering and Post-Harvest Technology, CAU, Ranipool, Sikkim to evaluate the  
61 effect of biofertilizers and biocontrol agents in enhancing growth and yield of brinjal as an offseason crop  
62 under low cost naturally ventilated polyhouse. Brinjal being a cross-pollinated crop, bee-hive with bee  
63 colony was installed in the polyhouse to enhance pollination. The soil of the experimental site was sandy  
64 loam (sand: 62%, silt: 23%, clay: 15%) with pH of 6.2).

65 Organic equivalent dose of recommended NPK (125:100:50 kg/ha) for brinjal as suggested by [15]  
66 was considered and manuring doses were calculated based on recommended doses of nitrogen (125  
67 kg/ha) for FYM. The recommended NPK dosage was found to be equivalent to 5 kg FYM per m<sup>2</sup>. The  
68 experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with 3 treatments and six replications viz.  
69 T<sub>1</sub>: FYM 5kg/m<sup>2</sup>, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM 5kg/m<sup>2</sup> + biofertilizer (a mixture of *Azotobacter* + PSB @ 10g/kg FYM each), T<sub>3</sub>:  
70 FYM 5kg/m<sup>2</sup> + biofertilizer (a mixture of *Azotobacter* + PSB @ 10g/kg FYM each) + biocontrol agent (a  
71 mixture of *P. fluorescens* + *Trichoderma* @ 5g/kg FYM each).

72 The biological resources [*Trichoderma* (Strain UBT-18), *P. fluorescens*(Strain VPF-1), *Azotobacter*  
73 (Strain UBAZ-1) and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (Strain UBPS-9)] used in the experiment were  
74 provided from Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, UBKV.

75 The seedlings of brinjal were transplanted on raised beds of 15 cm height with row spacing of 50 cm and  
76 seedling spacing of 45 cm in the low-cost NVP on October 10, 2012. The data were recorded on various  
77 growths and yield parameters viz. plant height, number of branches, number of leaves, number of  
78 fruits/plant and fruit yield/plant. The data collected for various parameters were subjected to statistical  
79 analysis using RBD One Factor SPSS-16 software.

## 80 3. Result and Discussion

### 81 3.1. Effect of Biofertilizers and Biocontrol Agents on Vegetative Growth of Brinjal

82 At the early stages of plant growth, the variation in vegetative growth among the treatments was  
 83 insignificant. During the later stages (60 and 90 DAT), the treatments inoculated with biofertilizers alone  
 84 (T<sub>2</sub>) and combination of biofertilizers + biocontrol agents (T<sub>3</sub>) were observed to be varying significantly on  
 85 vegetative growth of brinjal than the treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) receiving only FYM equivalent dose of recommended  
 86 NPK.

87 **Table 1: Effect of biofertilizers and biocontrol agents on the growth of brinjal**

Treatments	60 DAT*			90 DAT*			No. of fruits/ plant	Fruit yield/ plant (g)
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/ plant	No. of leaves/ plant	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/ plant	No. of leaves/ plant		
T <sub>1</sub>	15.96	6.00	10.26	32.76	8.74	28.94	30.80	709.20
T <sub>2</sub>	22.23	7.10	17.45	39.87	10.26	37.56	34.00	796.70
T <sub>3</sub>	25.92	7.73	18.67	45.62	11.17	50.05	38.90	810.00
LSD at 5%	3.74	NS	3.24	5.92	1.10	7.74	5.33	79.95

88 \*DAT: Days after transplanting

89 The maximum plant height (25.92 cm) and the number of leaves (18.67) were recorded in  
 90 treatment T<sub>3</sub> at 60 DAT which showed performance at par with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> and were significantly  
 91 higher than the treatment T<sub>1</sub> receiving FYM alone. At 90 DAT, the maximum plant height (45.62 cm), the  
 92 number of branches (11.17) and number of leaves (50.05) was observed in treatment T<sub>3</sub> which showed  
 93 performance at par with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> and were significantly higher than the treatment T<sub>1</sub> receiving  
 94 FYM alone. Biofertilizers and biocontrol agents were found to be effective in increasing vegetative growth  
 95 parameters for organic brinjal. Higher vegetative growth in plants treated with biofertilizers and biocontrol  
 96 agents may be attributed to improvement in plant mineral concentration through better nitrogen fixation  
 97 caused by biofertilizer application[16], increase in phosphorus uptake by plant caused by phosphate  
 98 solubilising bacteria[17] and disease protection as well as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria effects  
 99 caused by biocontrol agents[18]. Increase in plant height, the number of branches/ plant and number of  
 100 leaves/ plant due to the application of biofertilizers have also been reported by [19]in tomato, [20]in

101 gherkin. The application of biocontrol agents may have protected the plant from disease incidence by  
102 colonizing the rhizosphere of the plant preceding to the occurrence of any harmful disease causing  
103 pathogens as beneficial plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and so enhanced the growth(plant height,  
104 number of branches and number of leaves)[21]. Similar findings were also reported by [22] and [15] for  
105 brinjal.

### 106 3.2. Effect of Biofertilizers and Bio-control Agents on Yield of Brinjal

107 The maximum number of fruits/ plant (38.90) and fruit yield/ plant (810 g) was recorded in the treatment  
108 T<sub>3</sub> which showed performance at par with the treatment T<sub>2</sub> and were significantly higher than the  
109 treatment T<sub>1</sub> receiving FYM alone. Azotobacter may have enhanced the available nitrogen in the soil  
110 [18] and the inoculation of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms may have increased plant N and P  
111 uptake [23], which led to increasing in yield of brinjal. Increase in the number of fruits/ plant and fruit yield/  
112 plant due to the application of biofertilizers have also been reported by [18] 18 in tomato, [24] in safflower,  
113 [19] in gherkin and [25] in brinjal. Application of biocontrol agents increases the number of fruits/ plant and  
114 fruit yield/ plant probably due to its major role as antagonistic endophytic bacteria as well as plant growth-  
115 promoting rhizobacteria. Similar findings were also reported by [21] [15] for brinjal.

116

### 117 4. CONCLUSION

118 The findings revealed that plant growth and yield of brinjal (local var.) cultivated within the low-cost NVP  
119 in the mid-hill region of Sikkim have been affected significantly by combined inoculation of biofertilizers  
120 (*Azotobacter* + PSB) and bio-control agents (*P.fluorescens* + *Trichoderma*). Yield in plots with inoculated  
121 with biofertilizer alone (without bio control agent) was also found to be at par with the corresponding yield  
122 in plots with combined inoculation of biofertilizer and biocontrol agents. Thus, it may be concluded that for  
123 obtaining optimum plant growth and yield from brinjal, the treatment receiving organic manure (FYM)  
124 inoculated with biofertilizers may be recommended as there is no significant difference between the  
125 treatment of combined inoculation of biofertilizers + bio-control agents and that of biofertilizers singly.  
126 Moreover, it may be considered as cost-effective treatment, where there is no chance for the occurrence  
127 of diseases as compared to combined treatments because it involves an extra cost in the application of

128 biocontrol agents. However, in places with some history of bacterial wilt or related infestation, biocontrol  
129 agents may be suggested along with biofertilizers.

## 130 REFERENCES:

- 131 1. Horticultural statistics at a glance horticulture. Statistics Division Department of Agriculture,  
132 Cooperation & Farmers Welfare Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Government of  
133 India2017.
- 134 2. Weyens N, Van der Lelie D, Taghavi S, Newman L, Vangronsveld J. Exploiting Plant-Microbe  
135 Partnerships to Improve Biomass Production and Remediation. Trends in Biotechnology, 2009;  
136 27(10): 591-598.
- 137 3. M, Dotaniya M, Mishra A, Dotaniya CK, Regar KL, Manju L. Role of Biofertilizers in  
138 Conservation Agriculture. 2016.10.1007/978-981-10-2558-7\_4.
- 139 4. Sabalpara AN, Panda, JR, Mahatma L. Use of beneficial microbes in agriculture. National  
140 Seminar on role of organic farming in climate resilient and sustainable agriculture. 2014; 75.
- 141 5. Hridya AC, Byju G, Misra RS, Effect of biocontrol agents and biofertilizers on root rot, yield,  
142 harvest index and nutrient uptake of cassava (*Manihotesculanta* Crantz), Archives of Agronomy  
143 and Soil Science, 2013;59:9,1215-1227, DOI: [10.1080/03650340.2012.702896](https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2012.702896).
- 144 6. Biswas, JC, Ladha JK, Dazzo FB. *Rhizobium* inoculation improves nutrient uptake and growth of  
145 lowland rice. Soil Science Society of America Journal 2000; 64:1644-1650.
- 146 7. Farzana Y, Radizah O. Influence of rhizobacterial inoculation on growth of the sweet potato  
147 cultivar. Journal of Biological Science, 2005;1(3): 176-179.
- 148 8. Mosa WFAE, SasPaszt L, Frąć M, Trzciński P, Przybył M, Treder W, Klamkowski K. The  
149 influence of biofertilization on the growth, yield and fruit quality of "Topaz" apple  
150 trees. HorticultureScience. (inpress).2016.[http://www.agriculturejournals.cz/web/hortsci.htm?type=article&id=154\\_2015-HORTSCI](http://www.agriculturejournals.cz/web/hortsci.htm?type=article&id=154_2015-HORTSCI), 2016.04.10.
- 151 9. Chakravarty G, Kalita MC. Comparative evaluation of organic formulations of *Pseudomonas*  
152 *fluorescens* based biopesticides and their application in the management of bacterial wilt of  
153 brinjal (*Solanum melongena*L.). African Journal of Biotechnology, 2011; 10 (37): 7174-7182.
- 154

- 155 10. Akiew E, Trevorrow PR, Tonells PE. Management of bacterial wilt of tobacco. In:  
156 Bacterial wilt. Hartman GL and Hayward AC (eds.). ACIAR Proceedings. Australian Centre Int.  
157 Agricultural Res. Camera, 1993 45: 270-275.
- 158 11. Prakasam V, Sharma P. Trichoderma harzianum (Th-3) a potential strain to manage the  
159 purpleblotch of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) caused by *Alternaria porri* under North Indian plains.  
160 Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012; 10: 266-27.
- 161 12. Jadon, KS. Eco-friendly management of brinjal collar rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc.  
162 Indian Phytopath. 2009; 62(3): 345-347.
- 163 13. Khalid EE. Biological control of bean damping-off caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Research Gate,  
164 2013; 9: 1-11.
- 165 14. Singh R, Singh PP, Singh V. Integrated management of collar rot of *Amorphophallus*  
166 *paeoniifolius* blume caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Saccardo. Vegetable Science. 2006; 33(1): 45-  
167 49.
- 168 15. Harish DK, Agasimani AD, Imamsaheb SJ, Patil SS. Growth and Yield Parameters in Brinjal as  
169 Influenced by Organic Nutrient Management and Plant Protection Conditions. Research Journal  
170 of Agricultural Sciences, 2011; 2(2): 221-225.
- 171 16. Samah YAE. Effect of biofertilizer on yield and berry qualities of grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac.  
172 Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt. 2002. (In print).
- 173 17. Singh C, Sharma, BB. Leaf nutrient composition of sweet orange as affected by combined use  
174 of bio and chemical fertilizers. South Indian Horticulture. 1993; 41: 131-134.
- 175 18. Grover MI, Nain L, Saxena A. Comparison between *Bacillus subtilis* RP24 and its antibiotic-  
176 defective mutants. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2009; 25:1329-1335.
- 177 19. Ramakrishnan K, Selvakumar G. Effect of biofertilizers on enhancement of growth and yield on  
178 Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *International Journal of Research in Botany*, 2012.
- 179 20. Bindiya Y, Srihari D, Babu JD. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and  
180 nutrient uptake in gherkin (*Cucumis anguria* L.). Journal Research Angra, 2012; 40(1): 26-29.
- 181 21. Dashti NH, Ali NY, Cherian VM, Montasser MS. Application of plant growth-promoting  
182 rhizobacteria (PGPR) in combination with a mild strain of *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)

- 183 associated with viral satellite RNAs to enhance growth and protection against a virulent strain of  
184 CMV in tomato. Canadian journal of plant pathology. 2012; 34(2):177-186.
- 185 22.Ramesh R, Joshi AA, Ghanekar MP. Pseudomonads: major antagonistic endophytic bacteria  
186 to suppress bacterial wilt pathogen, *Ralstonia solanacearum* in the eggplant (*Solanum*  
187 *melongena* L.). World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2009; 25(1): 47-55.
- 188 23.Sharma SP, Brar JS. Nutritional Requirements of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) – A review.  
189 Regional Station, PAU, Bathinda-151001, India. Agricultural Review, 2008; 29(2): 79-88.
- 190 24.Mirzakhani M, Ardakani MR, Aeene BA, Rejali F, Shirani RAH. Response of spring safflower to  
191 co-inoculation with *Azotobacterchroococum* and *Glomusintraradices* under different levels of  
192 nitrogen and phosphorus. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences. 2009; 4:  
193 255-261. DOI: 10.3844/ajabssp.2009.255.261.
- 194 25.Nanthakumar S, Veeraraghavathatham D. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth  
195 parameters and yield of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*L.) cv. PLR-1. South Indian Horticulture,  
196 2000; 48(1-6): 31-35.