



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	2016_JSRR_24873
Title of the Manuscript:	Grooming telecommunications network: Optimization models and methods

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Dear the JSRR Editor,</p> <p>Reading carefully the historic profile of the reviewers' sessions completed, I were cautious from the wide fluctuation upon this manuscript marking. I read carefully the third version of the manuscript itself and I noted that the manuscript suffers from fundamental deficiencies in the writing style, sustaining vague/telegraphic text points as well as extended misconception mixing of diversified notions in a meaningless entity. In parallel, I performed an extensive literature search at the web for similar studies and I were badly surprised from the fact the manuscript reviewed has been already published from the following open-accessible paper (being authored from other-than those of the manuscript reviewed-scientists):</p> <p>Richard S. Barr, M. Scott Kingsley, Raymond A. Patterson (2005). Grooming Telecommunications Networks: Optimization Models and Methods. technical report 05-emis-03. June 22, 2005. Open access from: http://opti.tmit.bme.hu/~cinkler/TMP/MYPUBwithcitations/pdf/J_200302_ieeeNetwork_Grooming_c.pdf</p> <p>I also attach the relevant full article of this paper, too. There are striking identifications in the Introduction section, the Figures identification, and the almost overly representation of the same references' list in the "References" section. If I would compare and contrast these two studies, I would note that the manuscript reviewed should be valued as shorten version of the already published and open-accessible paper. Taking into consideration the aforementioned arguments, I suggest that the manuscript cannot be published again from the JSRR, since:</p> <p>a) It is deprived from exceptional novel elements that are worthy publishing at the JSRR.</p> <p>b) There is strong evidence that it will be considered as duplicated publication, and</p> <p>c) It is highly potential of intellectual conflicts arisen due to copyright issues, on behalf of the authors of the aforementioned published paper of: Richard S. Barr, M. Scott Kingsley, Raymond A. Patterson.</p> <p>We thank you all reviewers, how were involved in the review process of this paper, and we sincerely apologize for exposing them to review a manuscript that had been already published from the year 2005.</p>	

Editor's Details:

Dr. Grigorios L. Kyriakopoulos
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), Athens, Greece