

Editor's Comment:

Final decision on the manuscript 2018/JSRR/41674.

I can anticipate to you that on the whole the ms was satisfactorily revised according the comments of the reviewers but there is still an open question, raised by one reviewer (see file "Feedback_JSRR_41674_v2_Vee" in the fold "5.Revised_MS_v2_and_Feedback_v2), that is: in the revised manuscript the authors do not clearly write the permits obtained to perform this type of study. Therefore, in the absence of this declaration, this manuscript can not be accepted for publication

Pease note that maybe there is an error in the file " 6.Peer review information_JSRR_41674". In fact, in this file on the second page, 4th row, 5th column (i.e stage 3), concerning KOKABAS mark 9 is reported as average mark whereas in the file "Rev_JSRR_41674_Meh_v1 of 25/5" (see fold 4.Re-Review report_V1) I can read that the same reviewer has assigned 10 for the revised manuscript.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Fabio Maria Guarino
Researcher of Citology and Comparative Anatomy, Aggregate Professor of Evolutionary Biology,
Department of Biology, Complex Universitario Monte S. Angelo, University of Naples Federico II, Via
Cinthia, 80126 Naples (Italy)