

Editor's Comment:

At this paper authors developed an interesting research which revealed a wide spectrum of microbial pathogens over Refuse Dump Sites and its 'Harmful Distance' In Port Harcourt, South Nigeria. The paper has been structured in a robust methodology and a creative argumentation upon the result outcomes, offering insightful remarks of promising technological applicability to other African countries. Authors considered carefully all reviewers' comments but there is still room for significant improvements, prior it to be published at the "Journal of Scientific Research and Reports". To this end, the following review comments can be considered.

- a) Authors can check the correctness, or not, of the double notation of "South" at the manuscript title. Otherwise, the second "South" has to be omitted.
- b) A portion of text narrative is written in italics while another is written as normal typing. Therefore, authors should consistently follow the normal typing throughout the narrative flow (including the "Abstract" section), except for the taxonomy names of microbial pathogens.
- c) The repetitive exchange of presenting tables and figures in a row cannot advance the critical appreciation of the data noted. Contrarily, authors are recommended to accompany all figurative and tables' data by explanatory argumentation upon these profiles of bacterial counts. To this end, it is recommended authors to: 1) accompany a descriptive analysis of one paragraph per table or figure noted, and, 2) distinguish the sections of "Results" from "Discussion", and to formulate a distinct "Discussion" section. In this, it is recommended authors to succinctly discuss, after proper cross-citing, the main limitations, constraints, opportunities, challenging issues, and future research orientations drawn upon the analysis conducted. Three or four paragraphs are adequate.
- d) The close proximity of dry and wet seasons (June and July, respectively) makes questionable which should be the bacterial counts/profile, under more distant periods of sampling? Since this methodology is narrowed to only two months (that is 1/6 of an annual basis) leaving unexplored the 5/6 of the annum, it is recommended authors to briefly discuss this period, too (without having to perform extra sampling or experimental sessions). This extra information can be included at the aforementioned section of "Discussion". The healthcare and hygiene consequences can be reflected over the whole annum, no merely at the seasons examined.
- e) The following concluding remarks that:

"In order to checkmate these high air pollution profile and protect the lives of people, it is recommended that land fill waste disposal system should replace the open system of waste disposal. In case of limited land availability, the wastes can be incinerated under high heat in a controlled environment. More so waste management practices of waste reduction, waste re-use and recycling should be encouraged; and public awareness",

Are the cornerstone of the policies and measures proposed, thus, a more intensified and cross-citing argumentation can be given in the "Discussion" section. Two or three extra paragraphs are adequate.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Grigorios L. Kyriakopoulos
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), Greece