### **Original Research Article**

# Spore density and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in sunflower

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the number of spores and mycorrhizal root colonization in Cerrado soil (Red-Yellow Latosol) cultivated with different genotypes of sunflower. Sampling of rhizospheric soil occurred in three periods: sowing, flowering and sunflower harvest. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with four replications. To proceed the evaluations different sunflower hybrids were selected: M 734, Agrobel 960 and Helio 358, in 2009, and M 734, Embrapa 122 and HLA 860 H.O. in 2010. The measured parameters were number of total spores in 50 g of soil in three periods: sowing, flowering and harvesting and the arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization was evaluated only in the final of the experiment. The mean number of spores was 4,94 g soil<sup>1</sup> and 4,64 g soil<sup>1</sup> in 2009 and 2010, respectively. The maximum spore production occurred during the flowering period and mycorrhizal colonization was not influenced by the genotype. The mycorrhizal colonization rate ranged from 21 to 28% in 2009 and 147 to 320 in 2010, and the maximum production occurs, in-on average, in the flowering period. Lower soil phosphorus levels favors arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization.

13 Keywords: Helianthus annuus L.; soil; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, root colonization.

14

15 1. INTRODUCTION

Soil quality and the viability of improvements through chemical, physical and biological management are essential factors for success in agricultural production. In this context, the

18 study and the use of soil microbial population has shown the way to link sustainability to

19 efficiency.

The symbiotic association between plant and fungi is called mycorrhiza. Root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) generates several improvements; the plant provides photosynthates to the fungus, and this, through the branching and extension of the mycelium, increases the area of nutrient absorption for the plant [1]. Thus, AMFs can be used as an alternative to reduce the use of agricultural inputs, mainly fertilizers of chemical synthesis.

The influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi acts not only on soil particles aggregation but also on plant growth, providing essential nutrients [2] and improving their ability to withstand adverse conditions.

29

12

1

Studying, AMF inoculation in sunflower, it was observed an increase in chapter diameter,
 thousand achenes weight and achenes yield. These - traitsparameters that were related to
 the better development of the plants through their association with AMFs, due to the higher
 absorption of nutrients such as P, K and Fe [3].

<u>SThe sunflower cultivation (Helianthus annuus L.) cultivation has aroused interest, especially</u>
 in Brazilian Midwest, due to <u>itsthe</u> broad adaptability to edaphoclimatic conditions, suitability
 for crop rotation and usage ases in the production of edible oil, biodiesel, ornamental
 <u>croption</u>, animal feedood, etc. , among others [[4,5].

38 Considering that in the soils of the Cerrado Biome, for the optimization of the agricultural 39 production, is necessary the use of a high amount of inputs, and that the agronomic 40 efficiency is tied to the good indexes of soil quality, \_\_\_the present work aimed to evaluate 41 three sunflower genotypes on the basis of their root mycorrhizal colonization at three

<u>different growth stages the number of spores in different times and mycorrhizal colonization</u>
 in Cerrado Biome soils, under cultivation of three sunflower genotypes.

#### 44 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

45 The experiment was carried out at Santa Luzia Farm, in Campo Verde (MT-Brazil), latitude 46 15°45'12"S and longitude 55°22'44"W. The sSoil jefn the experiment wasal area is classified 47 as-Red-Yellow Latosol with the following properties: clayed texture, acidic pH, 50% average 48 bases saturation of 50%, absence of aluminum and high content of organic matter content (Table 1). Soybean and corn were most crops grown under min the farm the system of 49 minimum soil tillage practiced over more than cultivation is adopted, for more than ten10 50 51 years, being the most used crops soybean and corn.. Over both cropping seasons 52 considered (2009 and 2010), tThe specie that preceding crop of sunflower was ed the 53 sunflower in both years was soybean.

	2009 and	1 20101	iai vesis	al raili	Joanna	Luzia, v	Jampo	verue – N	11, DI azli
Veer	pH CaCl₂	Р	K	Ca	Mg	AI	Н	ОМ	СТС
rear		mg	mg dm⁻³		cmol <sub>c</sub> dm⁻³			gdm⁻³	cmol <sub>c</sub> dm⁻³
2009	5,1	21,8	76	3,2	0,9	0	4,4	37,8	8,7
2010	4,9	8,0	80	3,3	0,7	0	5,5	39,9	9,7
	Bases saturation		Sand	Silt	Clay	Saturation (%)			
	(V%)			g kg <sup>-1</sup>		Ca	Mg	К	н
2009	49,3		196	133	671	36,7	10,5	2,3	50,7
2010	43,3		172	200	628	33,9	6,8	2,1	56,7

## 54 Table 1. Chemical and physical properties of soil under sunflower cultivation in the 55 2009 and 2010 harvests at Farm Santa Luzia, Campo Verde – MT, Brazil

P: phosphorous; K: potassium; Ca: calcium; Mg: magnesium; Al: aluminium; OM: organic
 matter; CTC: cation exchange capacity; H: hydrogen.

The experimental design <u>used applied in the field-was a randomized complete blocks</u>, with four replications. <u>Every The plots was composed of -were formed by fofour</u> rows of 6.0 meters, <u>with spaced in 0.8 meters of inter-row spacing</u>, <u>between rows</u>, and 0.3 meters spacing within rows (19.2 m<sup>2</sup>). Two central rows (9.6 m<sup>2</sup>) were weighted at harvest to determine crop yield., between plants, considering as useful area the two central rows. <u>NPK</u> and boron The fertilizers were applied 30 days after sowing respectively at the following rates: <u>-used was 30-80-80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of NPK aand 2.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of boron on the sowing hill and 30</u>

kg ha<sup>-4</sup> of N top-dressing, at 30 days after sowing. <u>The 2010 cropping season was wore</u>
 rainy compared to 2009, with respectively 974 and 442 mm of total precipitation (<u>The rainfall</u>
 distribution in the region, during the experiment, is shown in Table 2).

68	Table 2	2. Rainfall <u>dis</u>	tribution (in m	m/month	) over 2009	and 2010	cropping sea	<u>asons(mm</u>
69		month <sup>-1</sup> ) in	Campo Verde	e - MT, fro	m February	/ to July, i	n 2009 and 20	010
	Year	February	March (S)	April	May (F)	June	July (H)	Total
	2009	262	132	16	10	22	0.2	442.4

55

3

974,0

2

325

 2010
 385
 206

 70
 S: sowing; F: flowering; H: harvest.

71

Three different sunflower hybrids were evaluated on the basis of their response to Te evaluate whether the response to fungi colonization was linked to genetic differences in sunflower different hybrids were selected. Hybrids M 734, Agrobel 960 and Helio 358 were used in , in 2009, whereas and M 734, Embrapa 122 and HLA 860 H.O., in 2010. Rhizospheric soil sampling was obtained done at harvest over 0-20 cm depth and at , in three different growth stagesperiods, namely -sowing (first half of March), flowering (60 days after sowing) and harvesting (after maturation).

Parameters evaluated parameters evaluated were total number of spores in soil, and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, whose root sampling occurred during crop harvest. The spore extraction of spores was carried out by the wet sift methodology [6], in which the soil was processed in a sieving systems (0.42 and 0.053 mm mesh) and centrifuged with water at 2800 rpm for 4 min. Subsequently, the samples were re\_suspended in 50% sucrose solution, centrifuged and washed. The Sepores were counted using in a stereomicroscope in a petri dishes, with vessels.

To check Forthe mycorrhizal colonization, the crop roots were washed, clarified with KOH
 (10%), acidified with diluted HCI [7] and stained with trypan blue [8]. Ten segments of 1-2 cm
 in length were selected for slide assembly. The Determination of n the quantification of root
 colonization percentage was made using an under optical microscope (40x), was done
 considering the number of colonized root.

The Aanalysis of variance was calculated using ..... software package were preceded, and
 the significant differences between means were determined following compared by Tukey
 test at with 5% of significance.

94 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

95

96 Regarding the factor For the factor year, there was no significant difference in the number of spores of AMF (Table 3). This could be explained by a general improvement in soil fertility
 98 resulting from may occurred since the studied area adopted the practice of minimum soil
 99 tillage over cropping system for more than 10 years. According to Carrenho et al the authors
 100 [9], the dissemination of association and mycorrhizal propagules dissemination is more affected is much more affected during in the initial phases of land use the occupation and use of the soil, with later stabilization.

104Table 3. Quantification of spores of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in Cerrado Biome105soil, under sunflower cultivation, in two years and three periods, in Campo106Verde – MT, Brazil

Veer	Constures	Sowing	Flowering	Harvest	Average
rear	Genotype	n°	spores 50 g soil	-1	
	M 734	153 bB	296 aA	267 aA	
2000	Agrobel 960	185 abB	342 aA	233 abB	047 -
2009	Helio 358	262 abAB	311 aA	174 aB	247 a
	Average	200 B	317 A	225 B	
	M 734	234 abAB	270 aA	147 bB	
2010	Embrapa 122	191 abA	254 aA	216 abA	232 a
	HLA 860 H.O.	271 aAB	320 aA	184 abB	
	Average	232 AB	281 A	182 B	
	CV (%)		11.60		

Means followed by different letters, uppercase in line and lowercase in column, differ from each other,
 by the Tukey test (P =0.05). CV: coefficient of variation.

109 For the periods, Sepore density at thein-flowering stage was higher in the twoover both 110 cropping years of study, with on average 317 and 281 in a general average of 317 in 2009 111 and 281 in-2010, respectively (Table 3). The authors cited in the reference [10] confirm that 112 Mmaximum spore production canmay occur atin the flowering and period and in the final 113 growth stages of the host crop as reported by Smith and Read [10]. They also reported that 114 essibly this increase in the amount of spores may could be related to the higher production of internal crop resistance structures in response to droughta period of lower rainfall 115 (situation of stress). 116 117 According to the authors cited in the reference [2], the spore density of AMFs is generally 118 higher in agricultural systems, and variations may occur due to edaphoclimatic factors, growing time, agricultural practices as well as the implanted crop. 119 120 The authors cited in the reference [11] studying Cerrado biome verified that the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi contribute to the growth of cultivated plants in annual cropping and pasture 121 122 systems and the number of spores of the native fungi varies, being the crop and the 123 cultivation system determinant for the enrichment of mycorrhizal fauna. The interaction between the genetic factors and the period was significant, demonstrating 124 125 that the genetic material influences the sporulation process. However, the variations were low indicating the stabilization of the mycorrhizal fungi sporulation. 126 127 In a carried study was verified that spore densities vary from 301 to 608 for maize crop, whereas in soybean cultivated soil the values were between 239 and 287 [12], similar to 128 129 those obtained in the present work with sunflower. Mycorrhizal dynamics involving root colonization and sporulation occur in different ways in different crops due to the compatibility 130 between AMF and the genetic characteristics of plants [13]. In addition, environmental, 131 132 climatic and edaphic factors generate changes in the symbiotic process [14]. In sugarcane the occurrence of AMF increase when the crop was preceded by sunflower 133

[15]. Likewise, sunflower favored the inoculum potential of AMF in the soil, and subsequent
corn growth [16]. Annual crops, green manures and forage species have a high degree of
mycorrhizal dependency, acting as a soil conditioning, multiplying the native mycorrhizal
community [17,14]. In this sense, sunflower is an option to benefit the soil mycorrhizal
population in crop rotation / succession systems.

Formatted: Highlight

139	For the mycorrhizal colonization rate, it was observed a variation from 21 to 28% in 2009
140	and 28 to 48% in 2010 (Table 4) (Figure 1), with no difference between genotypes.
141	According to the authors cited in the reference [18], mycorrhizal dependence can be defined
142	as the plant's responsiveness to mycorrhization through increased growth, which may be
143	related to the fertility and amount of phosphorus, present in the soil.

Year	Genotype	Mycorrhizal colonization (%)	Average
	M 734	28 a	
2009	Agrobel 960	21 a	24 b
	Helio 358	22 a	
	M 734	38 a	
2010	Embrapa 122	48 a	38 a
	HLA 860 H.O.	28 a	
	CV (%)	16.24	

Means followed by different letters in the column differ from each other, by the Tukey test (P = .05). CV:coefficient of variation.



Formatted: Highlight

176 177 178	Comparing the results cited in the reference [27] and this research, it was observed that a lower colonization was able to produce similar or higher quantities of spores, so, the efficiency in mycorrhiza species perpetuation was superior.	
179 180 181 182 183	In general, the relationship AMF-plant can be mediated by nutrient levels, present in the soil, since these fungi increase root exploration area, contributing to a greater absorption of nutrients for the plant. As the increase in soil phosphorus decreases the root mycorrhizal colonization and the plant dependence to mycorrhization [28], in soils with low levels of phosphorus, typical of the Cerrado biome, the AMF favors sunflower cultivation [25].	
184 185 186	In addition, there is evidences that mycorrhizal-sunflower ratio enables greater plant resistance to heat, showing an interesting impact in Cerrado production systems, which is characterized by high temperatures [29].	
187 188 189	Moreover, the potential of AMFs as biofertilizer for oleaginous crops is reforced, especially for soils with low fertility, since the practice allows to reach adequate levels of production, with less use of synthetic fertilizers making the productive system more sustainable [26].	
190 191 192	Therefore, colonization and mycorrhizal sporulation vary according to the sunflower genotype and the evaluation period. On flowering period there were intense AMFs activity, moment that is required to the plant a high nutritional supply for grain production.	
193	4. CONCLUSION	
194 195	The number of spores varies from 153 to 342 in 2009 and 147 to 320 in 2010, and the maximum production occurs, in average, in the flowering period.	
196 197	Mycorrhizal colonization in sunflower is not influenced by the genotype and the average percentage was 24 (2009) and 38 (2010).	
198	Lower soil phosphorus levels favors arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization.	
199	COMPETING INTERESTS	
200	We declare that no competing interests exist.	
202 203 204	REFERENCES	
205 206 207	1. Silva TFB, Santos ABS, Rozas CEO, Santos AC, Paiva LM. Influence of the density of mycorrhizal fungi on the production of passion fruit ( <i>Passiflora alata</i> CURTIS). The 2009; 22 (4): 1-6. English.	<b>Formatted:</b> Highlight
208 209 210	2. Rosseto P, Urcoviche RC, Oliviera JR, Alberton O. Spore density of mycorrhizal and fungal fungi of the UNIPAR glomale germplasm bank. Archives of Veterinary Sciences and Zoology of UNIPAR. 2012; 15 (1): 43-47. English. DOI: 10.25110 / arqvet.v15i1.2012.4166	
211 212 213 214	<ol> <li>Silva AJN. Soil chemical properties and growth of sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) as affected by the application of organic fertilizers and inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo. 2015; 39: 151-161. DOI: 10.1590/01000683rbcs20150194</li> </ol>	

1	
215	4. Grunvald AK, Carvalho CGP, Oliveira ACB, Andrade CAB. Adaptability and stability of
216 217	sunflower genotypes in Central Brazil. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2008; 43 (11): 1483-1493. Epolish. DOI: 10.1590 / S0100-204X2008001100006
217	
218	5. Souza FR, Silva IM, Pellin DMP, Bergamin AC, Silva RP. Agronomic characteristics of the
219	46 (1): 110-116. English. DOI: 10.1590 / S1806-66902015000100013
	······································
221 222	6. Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH. Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Transaction of the British Mycological Society, 1963; 46
223	(2): 235-244. English. DOI: 10.1016 / S0007-1536 (63) 80079-0
224	7. Cievenetti M. Messe, P. An evaluation of techniques for messuring vesicular arbuscular
225	mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytologist, 1980;84(3);489-500, DOI: 10.1111/i.1469-
227	8137.1980.tb04556.x
228 229	8 Phillins JM Hayman DS Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic
230	and vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Transaction of
231	the British Mycological Society. 1970;55(1):158-161. DOI: 10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80110-3
232 233	9. Carrenho R et al. Mycorrhizal fungi in Brazilian agrosystems. In: Sigueira JO et al.
234	Mycorrhizae: 30 years of research in Brazil. Lavras: UFLA; 2008.
235	10. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. San Diego: Academic Press; 1997.
236	11 Miranda ICC Vilela L Miranda IN Dynamics and contribution of mycorrhizal fungi in
237	crop rotation systems. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2005; 40 (10): 1005-1014. DOI:
238	10.1590 / S0100-204X2005001000009
239	12. Angelini GAR, Loss A, Pereira MG, Torres JLR, Saggin Júnior OJ. Mycorrhizal
240	colonization, spore density and diversity of mycorrhizal and fungal fungi in Cerrado soil
241 242	English. DOI: 10.5433 / 1679-0359.2012v33n1p115.
243 244	13. Smith SE, Gianinazzi-Pearson V. Physiological interactions between symbionts in vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant
245	Molecular Biology. 1988; 39 (1): 221-244. DOI: 10.1146 / annurev.pp.39.060188.001253
246	14 Miranda ICC Closed: mycorrhizae: occurrence and management. Planaltina: Embrana
247	Closed; 2008.
240	15. Ambrosono E Lot al. Crop rotation biomass and arbusqular musarrhizal fungi offacts on
240	sugarcane yield. Scientia Agricola. 2010; 67 (6): 692-701. DOI: 10.1590 / S0103-
250	90162010000600011
251	16. Karasawa T, Kasahara Y, Takebe M. Differences in growth responses of maize to
252	preceding cropping caused by fluctuation in the population of indigenous arbuscular
253 254	mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2002; 34 (6): 851-857. DOI: 10.1016 / S0038-0717 (02) 00017-2
255	17. Miranda JCC, Miranda LN, Vilela L, Vargas MA, Carvalho AM. Management of
250	Communiqué 42, Embrapa Cerrados. 2001; 42: 1-3. English. Accessed 16 Feb 2019.

258 259	18. Janos DP. Plant responsiveness to mycorrhizas differs from dependence upon mycorrhizas. Mycorrhiza. 2007; 17 (2): 75-91. DOI: 10.1007 / s00572-006-0094-1
260 261 262 263 264	19. Silva LX, Figueiredo MVB, Silva GA, Goto BT, Oliveira JP, Burity HA. Fungos micorrízicos arbusculares em áreas de plantio de leucena e sábia no estado de Pernambuco. Revista Árvore. 2007; 31 (3): 427-435. Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S0100- 67622007000300008
265 266 267	<ol> <li>Cordeiro MAS, Carneiro MAC, Paulino HB, Saggin Junior OJ. Colonização e densidade de esporos de fungos micorrízicos em dois solos do cerrado sob diferentes sistemas de manejo. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical. 2005; 35 (3): 147-153. Portuguese.</li> </ol>
268 269 270	<ol> <li>Balota EL, Lopes ES, Hungria M, Döbereiner J. Ocorrência de bactérias diazotróficas e fungos micorrízicos arbusculares na cultura da mandioca. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira.</li> <li>1999; 34 (7): 1265-1276. Portuguese.</li> </ol>
271 272 273 274	<ol> <li>Oliveira NA, Oliveira LA, Figueiredo AF. Colonização micorrízica e concentração de nutrientes em três cultivares de bananeiras em um latossolo amarelo da Amazônia Central. Acta Amazônica. 2003; 33 (3): 345-352. Portuguese.</li> </ol>
275 276 277	23. Ferreira DA, Carneiro MAC, Saggin Júnior OJ. Mycorrhizal fungi in a red latosol under management and uses in the Cerrado. Soil Science Journal. 2012; 36 (1): 51-61. English. DOI: 10.1590 / S0100-06832012000100006
278 279 280 281 282	24. Silva AJN, Silva RA, Santos JS, Medeiros JCS, Carvalho FG, Silva VN, Oliveira CJ, Araújo AC, Silva LESF, Gomes-Júnior J. Soil chemical properties and growth of sunflower ( <i>Helianthus annuus</i> I.) as affected by the application of organic fertilizers and inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Brazilian Journal of Soil Science. 2015; 39 (1): 151-161. English. DOI: 10.1590/01000683rbcs20150194
283 284 285 286 287	25. Balota EL, Machineski O, Truber PV, Cerezini P, Milani KL, Scherer A, Honda C, Leite L. Efeito dos fungos micorrízicos arbusculares em culturas oleaginosas. Brazilian Congress of Mamona, 4 & International Symposium of Oleaginose Energy, 1. 2010;680-684. Accessed 22 April 2019. Available: https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/18359/1/FER-06.pdf.
288 289 290 291	26. Sarah S, Ibrar M. Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Spores Density and Root Colonization of Four Hybrids of Sunflower ( <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) at Different Rock Phosphate Levels. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2016; 32 (4): 258-266. DOI: 10.17582/journal.sja/2016.32.4.258.266
292 293 294	27. Alves TS, Campos LL, Borba Filho AB, Loureiro MF. Ocorrência de fungos micorrízicos arbusculares na cultura de girassol no município de Campo Verde/MT. Scientia Agraria Paranaensis. 2014; 13 (3): 243-250. DOI: 10.18188/1983-1471/sap.v13n3p243-250
295 296	28. Balota EL et al. Effect of mycorrhizal fungi on different doses of phosphorus in sunflower and peanut. Encyclopedia Biosphere. 2010; 6 (11): 1-8. English.
297 298 299 300	29. Mayer Z, Duc NH, Sasvári Z, Posta K. How arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence the defense system of sunflower during different abiotic stresses. Acta Biologica Hungarica. 2017; 68 (4): 376-387. DOI: 10.1556/018.68.2017.4.4