



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International.
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ ACRI_49036
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL AND DIETARY PATTERN OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS OF KOGI STATE UNIVERSITY, ANYIGBA KOGI STATE,
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please, review the copy of manuscript sent as attachment.</p> <p>I suggest take out the paragraphs from lines 22-28.</p> <p>Line 49, include the name of country, Not all people know where is Anyigba, Kogi State.</p> <p>I suggest take out the paragraph between lines 49 – 53</p> <p>Line 123, Please include the type of statistical test used in the study.</p> <p>Lines 125 – 158, Please indicate the p-values (significant or not) in the tables and figures.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paragraph has been deleted • Country has been added • Paragraph has been deleted • Spss version 20.0 was used for the statistical analysis. Considering the pattern of study, which involved human populations, triplicate determination of values were not made, hence a post hoc (significant difference) test was not carried out. Values were rather calculated and represented as frequencies and percentages.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	