



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48181
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Quality evaluation of Ready-To-Use Gari from Cassava mash and mango fruit mesocarp blends
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please rewrite line 20 – 24 Please check the references again, some are not in the right format. Lots of punctuation errors. I commented on some on the main work and made the minor corrections. Please read thoroughly again	Minor adjustments effected.
Minor REVISION comments	Please reconsider the title. Formulation of gari (I think garri sounds better) is not really a new technology. I think something like QUALITY EVALUATION OF CASSAVA MASH AND MANGO FRIUT MESOCARP BLENDS sounds better. You can consider other forms too.	Minor adjustments have been made to the title.
Optional/General comments	Avoid using superfluous adjectives like "gari is the most popular staple food" just write "gari is a popular staple food" Also I don't think garis is sound plural form of gari the word can stand alone in a plural context.	Observations taken into consideration and necessary amendments made.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>