



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48305
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PROCESSING ON ASCORBIC ACID AND DEHYDROASCORBIC ACID IN DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF YAM (DIOSCOREA ROTUNDATA, D. CAYENENSIS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Authors need to follow the exact format of the Journal. 2- - Need improve the Introduction . 3- The objectives of the study at the end of the Introduction section must be clearer and more specific. 4-. References should be updated not more than 10 years. 5- Need to put reference for first part of material and method (prepare of yam). 6- Why put Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < .005$. After table (1) In the results in table (1)there are not statically analysis. 7- Must put in material and method How to make statically analysis and reference for it. 8- Need to improve the comment on table (3) 9- On Result and Discussion all comment must be connection with the literature data and with new reference 10- Improve the discussion with connection with the literature data and the manuscript objectives.	Corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs to be improved	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	