



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48305
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PROCESSING ON ASCORBIC ACID AND DEHYDROASCORBIC ACID IN DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF YAM (DIOSCOREA ROTUNDATA, D. CAYENENSIS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The author should re-write the Abstract, it is in one of the comment boxes in the manuscript. Again, the article is not technically sound and was NOT technically discussed. The author did not indicate the experimental design adopted in his/ her article.	Corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There was some wrong usage of tenses	Corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I think this article is too shallow	The objectives are well stated, there is always a need for further research.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	