



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48397
Title of the Manuscript:	Dehulling Effects on Vitamins, Minerals and Sensory Properties of Toasted African Breadfruit (Treculia africana) Seeds
Type of the Article	Original manuscript that had not been published any way.

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It is necessary to review the punctuation in all text. Which one is the methodology for sensory analysis? In conclusion: Why did you write about Ash, fat, fiber, protein, carbohydrate if these analysis are not in ? Only 25% of the references are the last 10 years.	The methodology for the sensory analyses was detailed in no 3.7 .Ash, fat, fiber, protein, carbohydrate are food nutrients yhat were affected by dehulling of bread fruit seeds before toasting..The journal cited were the ones that are related to the topic of study.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abstract – methodology: 30m? Table 3: at chewiness: the superscripts on values are not inverted?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		This manuscript is original work of the authors that had not been published else where in any form without sponsor of any kind. No conflict of interest between the authors who agreed to publish the work in your journal.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No ethical issues	No ethical issues.