



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48485
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Analysis of Total Carotenoids in some Vegetables Consumed in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introduction: clear and appropriated.</li> <li>- Sample Collection: a description in more details about your samples is welcome. Did you a botanical classification your samples ? When did you the collection your samples? and sampling criteria are necessary. How you did the botanical classification ? different species ?</li> <li>- Method for Analysis: If you have other molecules absorbing also in 470nm, how can you affirm that you measuring only carotenoids?</li> <li>- You need a validation of your measurement (figures of merit) your analytical procedure.</li> <li>- Where is the "statistic" your work ?</li> <li>- Your figures must be better presented/worked.</li> <li>- Table 1. standard deviation of your concentrations ?</li> <li>- Figure 1: unnecessary</li> <li>- Results and discussion: must be more argumentative and to connect your results.</li> <li>- Conclusion: modest.</li> </ul>	Corrections have been amended in the revised manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The authors have revised the work and made corrections according to the reviewers comments and recommendations.