



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_48607
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON ANTI-INFLAMMATORY, ANTIOXIDANT and ANTIMUTAGENIC ACTIVITIES of <i>Crassocephalum crepidioides</i> (Bent) LEAF COLD AND HOT WATER EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author should kindly number the figures in 1.0 appropriately a there are 4 different figures in 1.0. Thus, author can say 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d to differentiate the figures. (Line 171) 2. State and/or note the significance difference if any, in Line 202. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The corrections have been effected. 2. Significance difference has been inserted.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Author should correct the errors noted in Lines 10, 21, 35, 133, 213, 245, and 265.</p> <p>More recent references will be better if available</p>	Errors noted have been corrected. The references are standard.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None.