



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_49078
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Storage Conditions (Relative Humidity, Packaging materials and Time) on the Chemical properties of Maize- Soy Flour Blend
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript was not written well. There are several grammatical errors from the abstract to the conclusions. The authors should revise the manuscript. E.g in the abstract, in the study design... The sensory attributes show thatshould be ... The sensory attributes showed that. In the conclusion, first sentence... The result of study showed.....should be The results of the study showed</p>	<p>Line 10 – Changed “S” in Soy to s Line 12 – Changed “M” in Maize to m Line 13 – Added % Line 14 – Changed “P” in Proximate to p Changed “T” in Thiobarbituric to t Line 16 – Changed “S” in Soy to s Line 17 – Changed “T” in Thiobarbituric to t Line 20 – Deleted % from the pH results Changed “P” in Protein to p Changed “F” in Fat to f Changed “F” in Fibre to f Line 21 – Changed “C” in Carbohydrate to c Changed “A” in Ash to a Line 30 – Changed “I” in it to l Line 32 – Changed “O” in Ogi to o Line 33 – Changed “Kwon” to Known Line 37 – Deleted Ogi Changed “t” in traditionally to Traditionally Added ogi Line 39 – Deleted “ed” from produced Line 40 – Added “d” to use Line 41 – Added “product was on” Line 42 – Deleted “was” Added “by” Line 43 – Added “low” Line 44 – Added nutrition and deleted malnutrition Line 45 – Deleted “also” Line 46 – Changed “S” in Soy to s Line 47 – Changed “S” in Soy to s Added “and” Line 49 – Added “s” to element Line 147 – Added “c” Line 155 – Added “and” Line 156 – Deleted “was” and added were Line 159 – Deleted “the (p< 0.05)” and added $p \leq 0.05$ Line 162 – Deleted “3.1 Discussion” Line 164 – Deleted “For” and added “of” Replaced “R” in Relative to r Line 165 – Added “across the four months” Replaced “R” in Relative to r Line 168 – Replaced “S” in Sample to s Line 169 – Added “s” to sample Replaced “R” in Relative to r Line 188 – Deleted “For” and added “of” Replaced “t” in table to T Line 189 – Added “independently” Line 200 – Added the results also agree with Line 210 - Added “of” and deleted “For” Line 211 – Added “For” and deleted “of” Line 212 – Replaced “R” in Relative to r Line 213 – Deleted “And”</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<p>Changed "t" to T</p> <p>Line 214 – Deleted "Findings"</p> <p>Line 232 – Deleted "For" and added "of"</p> <p>Changed "The" to "There"</p> <p>Line 234 – Changed "R" in Relative to relative</p> <p>Line 235 – Added also and changed "The" to There</p> <p>Line 236 – Deleted also steady</p> <p>Line 237 – Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Added respectively</p> <p>Line 238 – Added "of" and "%"</p> <p>Line 239 – Added %</p> <p>Line 241 – Changed "a" to "an"</p> <p>Line 243 – Corrected observed, decreasing storage</p> <p>Changed "S" in Soup to s</p> <p>Changed "T" in Thickener to t</p> <p>Line 251 – Deleted "For" added "of"</p> <p>Changed "A" in Ash to a</p> <p>Line 253 – Added "Moreover"</p> <p>Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Line 254 – Changed The to There</p> <p>Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Line 255 – Added "resulting"</p> <p>Line 256 – Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Added respectively</p> <p>Line 257 – Added "ing" to decrease</p> <p>Line 271 – Changed "C" in Carbohydrate to c</p> <p>Changed "T" in Table to t</p> <p>Line 275 – Changed "t" in there to There</p> <p>Line 276 – Added in and for</p> <p>Line 278 – Added %</p> <p>Line 279 – Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Line 294 – Changed "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Line 296 – Changed "N" in No to n</p> <p>Line 297 – Added "results" and deleted "findings"</p> <p>Line 300 – Added "The"</p> <p>Line 301 – Changed "R" in relative to r</p> <p>Changed "L" in Lowest to l</p> <p>Line 302 – Changed "R" in relative to r</p> <p>Line 303 – Changed "L" in Lowest to l</p> <p>Line 305 – Changed "R" in relative to r</p> <p>Line 309 – Changed pH</p> <p>Line 311 – Lines 310 and 311 recasted</p> <p>Line 317 – Changed "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Changed "T" in Table to t</p> <p>Line 318 – Changed "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Changed "A" in Acidity to a</p> <p>Line 319 – Changed "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Changed "A" in Acidity to a</p> <p>Changed "R" in relative to r</p> <p>Line 320 – Changed "N" in No to n</p> <p>Change "S" in Samples to s</p> <p>Line 321 – Change "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Change "A" in Acidity to a</p> <p>Change "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Line 334 – Change "R" in Relative to r</p> <p>Change "T" in Titratable to t</p>
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<p>Change "A" in Acidity to a</p> <p>Line 336 – Change "A" in Acidity to a</p> <p>Line 337 – Change "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Line 355 – Change "i" in increase to Increase</p> <p>Line 359 – Change "T" in Titratable to t</p> <p>Lines 360 and 361 were added</p> <p>Lines 183,205,228,247,268,288,313 and 351 explains the use of superscript and subscript in the tables. (Superscript: Separation of mean for months (across the rows) Subscript: Separation of means for packaging (down the columns)</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract and the conclusion should be re-written. There is need for improvement on them.	Done
Optional/General comments	The references should be formatted to conform to journal format.	Reference style of the journal is Numbering and that's what was used .

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	