SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_48978**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Disentangling and quantifying the functional determinants of species abundance unevenness in ecological communities**
Type of the Article	**Method Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript addresses a methodological update to assess the relationship between abundance and community richness of organisms. The text is well written, however it lacks an update of the references.	**Thanks for the review** As regards references, I agree that a bit less than half of them are less than 5 years old, but this represents yet no less than 22 references dated 2014-2018, which indeed proves adequate after re-examination of literature on the subjects considered in the paper
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	Corresponding corrections have been provided accordingly in the revised version (i.e. key words and formatting)
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	