SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_49220**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Short Review and the Prediction of Tumor Growth based on Numerical Analysis**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Review the language e.g. Abstract line 3 replace prove by shown Conclusion line 3 "considered" Line 7 remove " it is seen…" Line 9 remove "about" Ref 9 no page number	1. The language in the whole manuscript is carefully checked. There was some typo and in the revised version it's corrected. 2. In abstract prove is replace by shown 3. In Conclusion, consider changed to considered, From line 7, the sentence is removed. Line 9, letter about removed. 4. Ref 9 and Ref1 were repeated, Now Ref9 is omitted. Thank you so much to the anonymous reviewer for his/her suggestions and good comments.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	