



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49161
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF RURAL-URBAN MIGRATION ON FOOD SECURITY OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS IN KWANDE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF BENUE STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Good empirical research paper very much fit for publication by AJAAR. However, much needs to be done to make the paper fit for publication.</p> <p>The introduction and data collection procedure sections are relatively good. Although the geographical coordinates (latitude and longitude of the area under study) need to be highlighted. Equally, the statistical software(s) used for analysis of the study's data should be indicated. However the data analysis section and the findings related to the parameter estimates of the multiple linear regression model leaves much to be desired.</p> <p>First and foremost, the multiple regression model is not very proper for the study considering that most of the explanatory variables are qualitative or dummy in nature. The best regression model that fits this bill is the multinomial logistic regression model. Thus, the author(s) of the study need to re-run the regression model and this time using the multinomial logistic regression.</p> <p>Secondly, the parameter estimates of regression models do not show the magnitude of change. They only indicate the direction of change. In order to know the magnitude of change, there is absolute necessity to compute the marginal effects. Thus, it is wrong to say that an increase in one variable (explanatory variable) leads to a direct proportional increase in the other variable (dependent variable).</p> <p>Last but not the least, the discussion of the study's findings should be done in a more comparative fashion, intergrating the most recent scientific publications (2014 – 2019) related to the paper in the discussion.</p> <p>Last but not the least, a proper conclusion should be given and the policy implications of the study highlighted.</p>	<p>Thank you for comments</p> <p>All the modifications suggested by the reviewer have been done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Language and syntax errors should be looked into.</p> <p>More papers (especially the most recent) need to be sourced for and used in the study.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Good empirical research paper that could be considered for publication by AJAAR. However, the afore-cited comments and evaluations should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	