



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49209
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional analysis evolution history of gonadal soma-derived factor (gsdf) in black rockfish, <i>Sebastes schlegelii</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper has sufficient scientific interest and originality in its technical content to merit publication in "Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research" . The MS explains the functional analysis evolution history of gonadal soma-derived factor (gsdf) in black rockfish, <i>Sebastes schlegelii</i> .	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check the highlighted words and modify accordingly 2. Please cite the reference by following the journal's pattern. 3. The methodology for mRNA isolation and quantification from different tissues is not given properly. Please give the protocol and methods in detail. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have revised the manuscript carefully. 2. Thanks. We have revised all the references according to the correct style in the new edition. 3. Thanks. The lacking protocols and methods have been given in the new edition.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Thanks for your suggestion. We have already added the ethical issues in the new edition.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>