



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_45849
Title of the Manuscript:	HPLC, densitometric and spectrophotometric methods for the simultaneous determination of colchicine and probenecid in their binary mixture
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 62 to 109 Where did you found the methodology? Where did you inspire? From literature? Please indicate an author.</p> <p>How did you collect the data? No statistical analysis? It must be described in Material and Method</p> <p>Interesting result, but the statistical analysis is no described. It's interesting to show the different by using posterior test!</p> <p>This the review paper, so discussion must be strong! It must be documented</p>	<p>The manuscript is reviewed as a review paper while it is an original research article.</p> <p>AT the original research paper there is no need for statistical analysis for collected data.</p> <p>Statistical data for research is described under results and discussion.</p> <p>It is not a review paper, it is a research paper.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>No working in this topic on 2017? 2018? Please the discussion could be documented by some recently references</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	