



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJACR_49331</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Preliminary Phytochemical Screening and Thin Layer Chromatography Analysis of Stem Bark Extracts of African Mistletoe Parasitic on Vitelaria paradoxa, Pilostigma thonningii and Combretum fragrans</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1.Please revise abstract of this manuscript by providing 1-2 sentences about the importance of African Mistletoe in health.</p> <p>Please also use the full name of Hex, EA, MeOH at the first time in abstraction section.</p> <p>2.Introduction section: Please provide more reference. There are only 2 references in introduction section. For example, in a paragraph "Mistletoes are highly utilized in traditional medicine to treat different kinds of diseases such as heart diseases and diabetes etc....." , please give references.</p> <p>3.Results section: The authors reported that "Mistletoe stem were harvested from <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>, <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i>"</p> <p>3.1 Did the authors perform extraction (with the same solvent for Mistletoe extraction) phytochemical screening and TLC for <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>, <i>Pilostigma thonningii</i> and <i>Combretum fragrans</i> ? This will help comparing the phytochemical compounds among these specimens.</p> <p>3.2 TLC Figure should be improved to make it clear with the good label. Please do not use a pencil to lebel. Do you have some standard compounds to run in TLC plate?</p> <p>4.Discussion section: Please also give the references in this section. Most of references in this manuscript are found in method section and only 2 references in introduction. Literature review is important for research.</p>	<p>1. Revision made</p> <p>2. More references added</p> <p>3. section revised</p> <p>3.1 Yes</p> <p>3.2 The TLC plates used in this experiment are bad now that i could not improve the image. I do not have standard compounds to run in the TLC plates.</p> <p>4. Two references added to discussion</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please check and correct spelling and English grammar.	They checked

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues