



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48079
Title of the Manuscript:	<b><u>Factors Affecting Farm Mechanization – A Case Study In Assam</u></b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Review all text to correct words that were written together, for example in line 33 "encourageslarge"</p> <p>Review all text to correct words that were spelled incorrectly, for example in line 36 "agro ecological"</p> <p>Put the appropriate references in these sentences in lines 39-43.</p> <p>Put the appropriate reference in line 51</p> <p>In the introduction as a whole, put appropriate references by citing phrases relating to government or country and Asian data</p> <p>Check that the references are properly placed in the text</p> <p>Change the section objectives and put "The present study is an attempt to study the effect of mechanization on income of the sample farms"</p> <p><b>Material and Methods</b></p> <p>In lines 127-128 there is a repetition of the objective of the study</p> <p>What is the p value that was used to consider the significant results?</p> <p>In any study involving human subjects, it is important to submit the work to an ethics committee, demonstrating that participants give their consent and that there will be protection of their privacy, as well as other human rights.... This was not done in this study</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <p>On Table 1 there is a need to explain what each acronym stands for.</p> <p>In line 183, Put the appropriate reference</p> <p>Improve writing on lines 186-187</p> <p>Significant data at 10 per cent probability level is something reliable in your study field? If yes, show reference.</p> <p>Review all text to correct words that were written together in Table 1</p> <p>In lines 264-265, the phrase is the same as the upper lines</p> <p>In lines 272-273, the size of the words is in the number 11</p>	Corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Change the keywords: <i>Mechanized, non mechanized, mechanization adoption</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	