



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48259
Title of the Manuscript:	Housing management practices of goats followed by tribal farmers in Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-Abstract: “The aim of present study was to assess the floor space (delete), feeding and watering space(s) and other housing management practice of goats followed by tribal (not necessary to include the word tribal) farmers in Rajasthan”.</p> <p>- The floor space and other housing practices at the farmers flocks were recorded on-farm: the language requires improvement</p> <p>- The average flock size as small, medium and large categories of farmer was...: there is need to improve on the language</p> <p>Main text</p> <p>- Goats are among the main meat-producing animals in India, whose meat (chevon) is one of the choicest meat having huge domestic demand.; a better word/expression could be used.</p> <p>-The use of the word ‘Tribal’ requires being properly explained</p> <p>- ‘Present results show that a large majority of goat farmers (87.50 %).……’: can be better expressed</p> <p>-It is necessary to provide information on recommended floor spaces so that both the provided and recommended spaces are easily compared</p> <p>-In general, the paper is good, need for the study requires being presented; why is the study necessary?</p> <p>-Use of language requires being improved. In addition, many ‘typos’ are common in the paper</p>	<p>Correction made</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Correction done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Typos and language error have been removed</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Topic- Requires being improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	