



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48355
Title of the Manuscript:	SCALE TO MEASURE STUDENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS ROLE-PLAYING IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision comment	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Follow the journal's referencing and citation guidelines. 2. Do not begin a sentence with a numeral. In particular, in the third sentence of the abstract, instead of beginning with "21", write "Twenty-one". 3. Statement No. 8 in the Table is quite confusing in the following sense: (1) Why was "usage instructional technology" included in a questionnaire about "role-playing"; (2) the word "affect" or "effect" (as in "students are not affected") can mean two different things, it may be good or bad; and (3) considering (2), what may be the classification of this Statement 8, "Students achievement are not affected from usage of instructional technologies in lessons", is it a positive or a negative statement?	Reference style has been corrected as per the format Corrected Sentence has been modified
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>