



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48355
Title of the Manuscript:	SCALE TO MEASURE STUDENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS ROLE-PLAYING IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision comment	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Follow the journal's referencing and citation guidelines. 2. Do not begin a sentence with a numeral. In particular, in the third sentence of the abstract, instead of beginning with "21", write "Twenty-one". 3. Statement No. 8 in the Table is quite confusing in the following sense: (1) Why was "usage instructional technology" included in a questionnaire about "role-playing"; (2) the word "affect" or "effect" (as in "students are not affected") can mean two different things, it may be good or bad; and (3) considering (2), what may be the classification of this Statement 8, "Students achievement are not affected from usage of instructional technologies in lessons", is it a positive or a negative statement?	Reference style has been corrected as per the format Corrected Sentence has been modified
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>