



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48547
Title of the Manuscript:	Theoretical Orientation for Readability Assessment in Bengali Language of Extension Literatures Related to Farming
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>For this objective</b> "assessment of readabilities of Bengali literature for promotion of agricultural education" PROVIDE conclusion</p> <p>to measure the readability of Extension literatures in Bengali Language related to farming along with the analytical tools or procedures uses in readability assessment of a Bengali text associated with farming extension literature- PROVIDE conclusion</p> <p>revise too long sentences for clarity- see comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Conclusion part is added as suggested by the Hon'ble reviewer.</li> <li>2. Sentence structure revised as suggested.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Check this articles to expound EXTENSION:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Construct of extension from university faculty perspective- J Mojares</li> <li>2. Extension Function in Higher Educational Institutions: Learning from HEIs in Batangas Province, Philippines- J Mojares &amp; RDT Bacongus</li> </ol>	Consultation from the mentioned paper has considered in the time of revision the manuscript.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	