



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48571
Title of the Manuscript:	SOURCES OF INFORMATION & THEIR EXTENT OF UTILIZATION BY ACTORS IN AKIS FOR BETEL VINE GROWERS IN NADIA DISTRICT OF WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	After I read your manuscript I have some recommendations: 1, at the end of introduction, please mention your research objectives 2. In the methodology section, please present your research instrument (how many questions, which type, what kind of information you collected). Also present the method used for data analysis. 3. Please take care how you report the results into the text. You should use the symbol of percentage where is the case. 4. you need to discuss your results, not jus present them. 5. mention the implications of your study and future research directions	I have made necessary correction in my manuscript as per the direction of the editorial board . i have made some correction in the research objectives and methodology as directed . Implication of the study also included.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	