



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49285
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Assessment of National Food Security Mission (NFSM) on Pulses Production in Karnataka, India-An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Introduction need to be revised by including few more aspects on importance of the study.	I have included additional points with respect to importance of the study in the last para of the introduction chapter.
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	1. Ensure that whether any researcher might have studied on this aspect or not	There are few studies conducted on TMOP and NFSM but they lack the both micro and macro approach in their study. Only macro level studies were taken up by some of the authors/researchers. So we have taken this research at both micro and macro level.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	