



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAHR_48869 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence of different 1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) concentrations and storage conditions on the physico-chemical properties of (Solanum lycopersicum L.) on fruit quality and shelf-life. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | 1) 2.4.2 PH change to 2.4.2 pH 2) Please check the unit of EC, authors wrote the unit of EC as ppm. Are you sure?. 3) Results of 3.3 TTA: Authors should add the unit of TTA, such as TTA (0.41%)..... 4) Table 7: Please check the accuracy of an alphabet after the numbers, because there is only a. 5) References 5.1: 1.of tomato (<i>solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) change toof tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) 5.2: 4.....Ghana journal.....this reference lack of Volume (Issue): page number? Authors should write completely. 5.3: 15..... (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.) change to (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.) 5.4: 20..... this reference is incomplete writing. Authors should write more details. 5.5: 33.....American Society of Horticultural Science Journal,this reference lack of Volume (Issue): page number? Authors should write completely. | pH changed. EC is ppm because the instrument used in measuring the EC was an Electrical Conductivity meter which is measured in PPM. Unit of TTA corrected and added. Table 7. The table is accurate. There was no significant different amongst them. All other corrections made and highlighted. |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript is clearly written on the academic point for tomato postharvest. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |