



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_48913
Title of the Manuscript:	Reducing salinity stress in Murcott mandarin orchards using different soil amendments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well written manuscript with proper explanation in the results and discussion section. However author need to follow the following suggestions- Growth condition of the plant should be detailed How the salinity level was minted throughout the experiment should be explained? Author should suggest the specific best treatment in the conclusion The journal format and style of presenting references should be adopted in completing the references in this manuscript The names of all journals cited in this manuscript should be written in full and not abbreviated to ease cross referencing and citation counts	Thank you very much for your patience and valuable suggestions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measured plant growth parameters were mentioned in materials and methods section from line 101 to 120. In addition, all studied growth parameters were indicated in details from line 160 to 240 in the results and discussion section. Determination of soil salinity has been explained and highlighted in yellow colour. The conclusion section has been revised to clarify the best treatment All citations in text and references list have been corrected according to the authors' instructions. The names of all journals cited in the references list have been written in full
Minor REVISION comments	Table and figure formatting should be checked and the units of measurements as well	The units of measurements have been checked
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>