



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_47411</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Better Prediction of Seasonal Rainfall by Climate Predictability Tool Using Global Sea Surface Temperature in Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The data collected from the SAARC of 12 months is not enough to predict rainfall variation. Climate determinant takes 10, 20 35 years to assess it variability.</li> <li>Describe your methods software used and the techniques employed for analysis of your data</li> <li>Check you research gab (1.5) is not the same with scope of the study</li> <li>The study are map need to be in detail using good cartographical tool to show areas affected by the rainfall or shows area affected by the predicted rainfall .</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We agree with you. It is the limitation of this study.</li> <li>A brief description is made in the text.</li> <li>The manuscript is rewritten considering the suggestion given.</li> <li>It will be considered for the future study.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language used need to be improved</li> <li>Check your citation it looks like most of the information are from the net.</li> <li>I think this proof that the research is not empirically done rather its dependent on the theory obtained from the website</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It has been tried to overcome this problem</li> <li>It has been considered and rearranged.</li> <li>The manuscript is fully rearranged.</li> </ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This paper is seems to be a written Thesis which need to narrowed to a journal paper where most of the detail are not necessary to be included.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No need.