



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Page 12, Line 236-245; CONCLUSION <i>is to be re-written points wise.</i></p> <p>2. (4)Lines 128-130, "Calabar, located in the southern part...42m above sea level" is an excerpt from Reference [6].</p> <p>3. Every reference cited in the main text, such as Golbabaei and Omidvari (2008), Epstein and Daniel (2006), and Kjellstrom (2014), should also appear in reference list. However, it is not. This is a serious lack.</p> <p>4. The paragraph of the lines 173-176 is not clear and written in poor.</p> <p>5. The presentation of the Results section is not appropriate. For example, all Tables and Figures lack of the units of the physical quantities. Table 7 and Figures 3 and 5 do not specify the locations of the field test.</p> <p>6. In Figure 4, explain how the line can be drawn</p> <p>7. How do you get the correlation coefficients In Line 223. The explanation is suggested to present in the text</p> <p>8. In line 224, "Temperature increases, wind speed also increases" the description does not apply to Table 4.In addition, the sentence should be more accurate. "Temperature increases, wind speed also increases"->:"As the ambient temperature increases, the wind speed in bakery increases."</p> <p>9. Please enhance the Discussion and Conclusion sections and show the highlights of the work</p> <p>10. In the Introduction, verb tenses should be considered past. The past tense is used to describe things that have already happened.</p> <p>11. Check the Keywords format in line 21</p> <p>12. There is an omission title Table 2 in line 137. Please renumber all the Tables.</p> <p>13. The reference list does not complete. For example, the Reference [3] lacks Journal name.</p> <p>Hence, the academic editor thinks that the manuscript should be revised again. All the comments suggested must be finished and marked with different colors</p>	<p>1. The conclusion has been re-written point wise</p> <p>2. The description of location has been re structured</p> <p>3. The non cited references have been included</p> <p>4. Line 173-176 has been completely rewritten.</p> <p>5. All the tables have been renumbered in the correct order.</p> <p>6. The line (i.e. line of best fit) was drawn using Microsoft excel software.</p> <p>7. Correlation coefficient was also computed with MS Excel statistical software.</p> <p>8. The correct sentence has been incorporated.</p> <p>9. The discussion and conclusion sections have been duly enhanced point wise</p> <p>10. This has been restructured.</p> <p>11. Keywords has been updated and reformatted.</p> <p>12. All tables has been renumbered.</p> <p>13. The reference has been updated and a journals name has been included.</p> <p>All the corrections have been marked with yellow colour as suggested. The author is grateful to the editors for making these invaluable comments that has greatly improved the structure of the manuscript. Thanks</p>



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

	14.