SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_48384**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ETHNOCENTRISM, LOCALITY AND GLOBAL IDENTITY AS PREDICTORS OF ATTITUDE TOWARDS HERDSMEN MENACE IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Empirical/Descriptive**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The topic not well carved thus needs to be revised as suggested.** **2. Need for major revision in the Abstract.** **3. Introduction is clumsy (You may wish to separate introduction from objective, literature review and theoretical framework).** **4. Hypotheses not well stated.** **5. Gap in knowledge not properly identified.** **6. Theoretical framework not well applied.** **7. Methodology is confusing.** **8. Results not properly analysed.** **9. Findings not well discussed.**	Thank you for your valuable comments We have completely revise our manuscript according to the comments
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	